Policy reference	IV	.B.1.a		IV.B.	1.c		IV.B.1.d		IV.B.1.d		IV.B.2.a	I۷	/.B.2.a		V.B.2.b		IV	.B.2.c	IV.	B.3.e
Elementary Schools		etween 9 10%		ranspo Cost Ch		Proxi	mity to scho	ool	Students in wal percentage of o		Feed information in middle and high school sections.	Double	Small Feed		contiguous			oved within 5 yrs ES move	Studen	ts Moved
		After Ch	~	After	Chg	Before	After	Chg	% change	Chg		Before	U			Chg	Number	% Enrollment	Number in	Number out
Atholton ES	12	12		0	=	3687	3687	=	0%	=		0	o <b>=</b>	0	0	=	0	0%	0	0
Bellows Spring ES	2	4		1	+	3858	4058	-	0%	=		0	0 =	0	0	=	0	0%	57	143
Bollman Bridge ES	2	2	_	0	=	5020	5020	=	0%	=		0	0 =	0	0	=	0	0%	0	0
Bryant Woods ES	0	12		-1	-	3593	4068	-	-42%	-		0	0 =	0	1	-	0	0%	71	158
Bushy Park ES	0	4		-1	-	9494	13178	-	0%	=		0	0 =	0	1	-	0	0%	145	44
Centennial Lane ES	0	0		0	=	5323	5323		0%	=		0	0 =	1	1	=	0	0%	0	0
Clarksville ES	0	12		-1	-	4119	5074	-	0%	=		0	0 =	0	0	=	0	0%	91	0
Clemens Crossing ES	0	2		1	+	4097	3918	+	0%	=		0	0 =	0	0	=	0	0%	14	192
Cradlerock ES	11	11 =		0	=	3196	3196		0%	=		0	0 =	0	0	=	0	0%	0	0
Dayton Oaks ES	0	1 4		-1	-	12989	13414	-	0%	=		0	0 =	0	0	=	0	0%	29	0
Deep Run ES	2	5		1	+	5988	3166	+	0%	=		0	0 =	0	0	=	0	0%	87	157
Ducketts Lane ES	0	6		1	+	8205	6781	+	0%	=		0	o <b>=</b>	0	1	-	0	0%	0	418
Elkridge ES	9	9 =	- 1	0	=	4017	4017	=	0%	=		0	0 =	0	0	=	0	0%	0	0
Forest Ridge ES	4	4 =	-	0	=	4272	4272	=	0%	=		0	0 =	0	0	=	0	0%	0	0
Fulton ES	0	0 =	-	0	=	7791	7791	=	0%	=		0	0 =	0	0	=	0	0%	0	0
Gorman Crossing ES	9	9 =	-	0	=	5769	5769	=	0%	=		0	0 =	0	0	=	0	0%	0	0
Guilford ES	8	8 =	-	0	<b>=</b>	4034	4034	=	0%	=		0	0 =	0	0	=	0	0%	0	0
Hammond ES	5	5		0		6004	6004	=	0%	=		0	0 =	0	0	=	0	0%	0	0
Hollifield Station ES	1	1 =	- 1	0	=	5317	5317	=	0%	=		0	o <b>=</b>	0	0	=	0	0%	0	0
Ilchester ES	4	4		0	=	4386	4386	=	0%	=		0	0 =	0	0	=	0	0%	0	0
Jeffers Hill ES	11	11 =		0	=	3956	3956	=	0%	=		0	o <b>=</b>	0	0	=	0	0%	0	0
Laurel Woods ES	0	0 =		0	=	1918	1918	=	0%	=		0	0 =	0	0	=	0	0%	0	0
Lisbon ES	4	7		-1	-	14682	14204	+	0%	=		0	o <b>=</b>	0	0	=	0	0%	44	0
Longfellow ES	0	8 4		-2	-	4746	4435	+	0%	=		0	0 =	0	0	=	0	0%	158	0
Manor Woods ES	0	3 4		1	+	9259	9897	-	0%	=		0	0 =	0	0	=	0	0%	0	260
New ES #42	0	2		0	=	0	12404	-	0%	=		0	0 =	0	0	=	0	0%	624	0
Northfield ES	9	9	- 1	0	=	4780	4780	=	0%	=		0	o <b>=</b>	0	0	=	0	0%	0	0
Phelps Luck ES	6	6		0	=	3688	3688	=	0%	=		0	0 =	0	0	=	0	0%	0	0
Pointers Run ES	9	3		0	=	7126	7983	-	0%	=		0	1 -	0	0	=	0	0%	192	120
Rockburn ES	2	7		1	+	6566	4934	+	0%	=		0	0 =	1	0	+	0	0%	72	193
Running Brook ES	1	4 -		1	<b>+</b>	4892	3551	+	0%	=		0	o <b>=</b>	0	0	=	0	0%	0	85
St Johns Lane ES	0	7		1	+	4033	3596	+	0%	=		0	0 =	0	0	=	0	0%	0	120
Stevens Forest ES	11	11 =	- 1	0	=	2011	2011	=	0%	=		0	0 =	0	0	=	0	0%	0	0
Swansfield ES	9	9	- 1	0	=	2827	2827	=	0%	=		0	0 =	0	0	=	0	0%	0	0
Talbott Springs ES	0	0		0	=	6884	6884	=	0%	=		0	0 =	2	2	=	0	0%	0	0
Thunder Hill ES	12	12		0	=	3964	3964	=	0%	=		1	1 =	0	0	=	0	0%	0	0
Triadelphia Ridge ES	12	2 -		-1	-	9063	10267	-	0%	=		0	0 =	0	0	=	0	0%	71	0
Veterans ES	3	3	_	0	=	5764	5764	=	0%	=		0	0 =	0	0	=	0	0%	0	0
Waterloo ES	0	12		0	=	6799	6511	+	0%	=		0	0 =	0	0	=	0	0%	71	0
Waverly ES	1	11 4		0	=	5258	5388	-	0%	=		0	0 =	0	0	=	0	0%	164	32
West Friendship ES	7	10		-1	-	10800	12100	-	0%	=		0	0 =	0	0	=	0	0%	32	0
Worthington ES	6	6 =	=	0	=	3547	3547	=	0%	=		0	0 =	0	0	=	0	0%	0	0
Overall ES												1	2	4	6		0	0%	1922	1922
Strengthened		<b>+</b> 1	R	_	8			9		0			<b>■</b> 0		4	1				
Weakened		+ 1		<u>+</u>	8		÷	11	<u>+</u>	1			+ 0		÷	3				
Negligible		= 2		= "	26		=	22	I	42			41		- ≟ '	38				
rvegligible		= 2	۱ ا	=	20		=	22	=	42			= 41		=	30				
Mean	4.1	6.0	(	0.0		5564.8	5882.9		0.0					0.1	0.1		0.0	0%		
StdDev	CT.	RENGTH		ME A KA	VIECC.		WEAKNES		WEAKNESS			187	EAKNESS	<u> </u>	EVANCE	•				
	511	KENGIH	V	WEAK	VE 35		WEAKNES	,	WEAKNESS			W	EAKNE55	V	/EAKNES	3				

Middle Schools	Years b	etweer	n 90-	Transp		Prox	imity to scho	ool	Students in wall percentage of c			ES Feed Under 15		Double	Small Fee		n-contigue ndance A			ved within 2 yrs MS move	Student	ts Moved
Bonnie Branch MS Burleigh Manor MS Clarksville MS Dunloggin MS Elkridge Landing MS Ellicott Mills MS Folly Quarter MS Glenwood MS Hammond MS Harpers Choice MS Lake Elkhorn MS Lime Kiln MS Mayfield Woods MS Mount View MS Murray Hill MS Oakland Mills MS Patapsco MS Patuxent Valley MS Thomas Viaduct MS	Before 11 2 12 6 12 12 5 0 12 1 6 3 1 12 3 12 2	11 6 12 6 12 6 12 12 12 12 9 7 4 1 12 3 12 2	Chg = + = = = = + = + + + = = = = = =	After 0 1 -1 0 0 -1 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Chg = + = - = + + + + = = = = = = = = =	Before 9164 7257 5828 7070 5948 5826 11608 11808 5353 12354 5082 13415 8074 4115 6088 6982 13619	After 9164 6912 6796 7070 6489 5826 11924 18410 7533 4561 13603 8074 4115 6088 13619	Chg = + - = = + + - = = = = = = = = = = = =	After 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%	Chg	3 0 0 3 0 0 1 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 0 2 1 0 0 4	After 3 0 0 0 3 3 0 0 0 1 1 0 1 1 1 1 0 2 0 0 0 3 3	Chg	0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	After CI 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		After 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Chg	Number 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	% Enrollment 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0% 0%	Number in 0 0 159 0 333 0 444 0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Number out 0 29 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 129 1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 129 33 44 0 0 0 0 0
Wilde Lake MS	7	7	=	-1	-	5827	5677	+	0%	=	0	1		0	0 =	0	0	=	0	0%	47	30
Overall MS  Strengthened  Weakened		÷	5	÷	4		±	4 5	±	0	19	17 + -	4 2	1	+ 0		• + -	0	0	0%	313	313
Negligible Mean StdDev	6.9	8.2 RENG	15	0.0 NEGU	12 GIBLE	8228.6	8312.5 NEGLIGIBL	11	0.0	20	0.0%	imums 0.0%	14	WE	= 1		EGLIGIE	20	0.0	0%		

Atholton HS	Before After Chg After Chg		Attendance Areas	Students moved within 3 yrs of last HS move	Students Moved
Strengthened + 0 + 0	9729 9729	Before         After         Chg         Before         After         Chg           1         2         -         0         1         -           0         0         0         0         0         0           0         0         0         0         0         0           1         1         1         0         0         0         0           1         2         2         0         0         0         0         0           1         2         -         0         0         0         0         0         0           0	Before After Chg 0 0 = 0 0 = 0 0 = 0 0 = 0 0 = 0 0 = 0 0 = 0 0 = 0 0 = 0 0 = 0 0 = 0 0 = 0 0 = 0 0 = 0 0 = 0 0 = 0 0 = 0 0 = 0 0 =	Number % Enrollment 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0% 0 0	Number in Number out 0
Negligible = 12 = 12	+ 0 + 0 - 0 - 0 = 12 = 12	8 10 1 2 + 0 + 0 - 2 - 1 = 10 = 11	0 0 + 0 0 0 = 12	0 0%	0 0

All Schools	Years between 90- 110%	Transportation Cost Change	Proximity to school	Students in walk area, percentage of change	Feeds Under 15%	Double Small Feed	Non-contiguous Attendance Areas	Students moved too soon after last move	Students Moved
	Before After Chg	After Chg	Before After Chg	After Chg	Before After Chg	Before After Chg	Before After Chg	Number % Enrollment	Number in Number out
Overall HCPSS					27 27	1 2	4 6	0 0%	2235 2235
Strengthened Weakened Negligible	+ 23 - 2 = 49	+ 12 - 12 = 50	+ 13 - 16 = 45	+ 0 - 1 = 74	+ 4 - 4 = 24	+ 0 - 3 = 71	+ 1 - 3 = 70		
Mean StdDev	5.0 6.5	0.0	7304.3 7507.6	-0.01	Minimums 0.0% 0.0%			0.0 0%	
Overall Assessment:	STRENGTH	WEAKNESS	WEAKNESS	NEGLIGIBLE	NEGLIGIBLE	WEAKNESS	WEAKNESS		
Assessment Criteria	Mean increased by 1.0 or more = STRENGTH; reduced by 1.0 or more = WEAKNESS; otherwise	Mean increased = STRENGTH; mean reduced = WEAKNESS; otherwise Negligible	Mean reduced by 100 or more – STRENGTH:	Mean 2% increase or more = STRENGTH; decreased by 2% or more = WEAKNESS; otherwise Negligible	"After" count lower than "Before" = STRENGTH; "After" higher = WEAKNESS; otherwise Negligible	stran "Before" = STRENGTH; "After"	"After" count lower than "Before" = STRENGTH; "After" higher = WEAKNESS; otherwise Negligible		Take into account the correlation between the number of students moved the outcomes of other standards achieved in Section IV.B. and the lengtl of time those results are

OVERALL Summary		Current	Aggregate Plan	Assessment Criteria
Years between 90-110%	# of Schools Strengthened # of Schools Weakened Mean	NA NA 5.0	23 2 6.5 <b>STRENGTH</b>	Mean increased by 1.0 or more = STRENGTH; reduced by 1.0 or more = WEAKNESS; otherwise Negligible
Transportation Cost Change	(pos=savings; neg=cost)	NA	-0.01 WEAKNESS	Mean increased = STRENGTH; mean reduced = WEAKNESS; otherwise Negligible
Proximity to school	# of Schools Strengthened # of Schools Weakened Mean (smaller # = closer set of po		13 16 7508 <b>WEAKNESS</b>	Mean reduced by 100 or more = STRENGTH; increased by 100 or more = WEAKNESS; otherwise Negligible
Students in walk area, percentage of change	# of Schools Strengthened # of Schools Weakened	NA NA	0 1 <b>NEGLIGIBLE</b>	Mean 2% increase or more = STRENGTH; decreased by 2% or more = WEAKNESS; otherwise Negligible
Small Feeds (under 15%)	# of Small Feeds	27	27 NEGLIGIBLE	"After" count lower than "Before" = STRENGTH; "After" higher = WEAKNESS; otherwise Negligible
Double Small Feed	# of Double Small Feeds	1	WEAKNESS	"After" count lower than "Before" = STRENGTH; "After" higher = WEAKNESS; otherwise Negligible
Non-contiguous Attendance Areas	Number of "Islands"	4	6 WEAKNESS	"After" count lower than "Before" = STRENGTH; "After" higher = WEAKNESS; otherwise Negligible
Students moved within 5 yrs of last ES move, 2 years of last MS move, or 3 years of last HS move	Number % of Enrollment		0 0.0% <b>0</b>	
Students Moved	Number moved in Number moved out		2235 2235	Take into account the correlation between the number of students moved, the outcomes of other standards achieved in Section IV.B. and the length of time those results are expected to be maintained.
Strength	Negligible	Weakness		

# of Schools Strengthened NA Mean 4.1  Transportation Cost Change  Proximity to school  Strength # of Schools Weakened Mean 4.1  Transportation Cost Change  Proximity to school  Students in walk area, percentage of change  Small MS from ES Feeds (under 15%)  Double Small Feed  Non-contiguous Attendance Areas  Number of "Islands" 4  Number moved out Number moved out Na Number moved out Students Moved  Students Moved  # of Schools Strengthened NA Mean 5565 (smaller # = closer set of polygons)  # of Schools Weakened NA Mean 5565 (smaller # = closer set of polygons)  # of Schools Weakened NA Mean 5565 (smaller # = closer set of polygons)  # of Schools Weakened NA Mean 5565 (smaller # = closer set of polygons)  # of Schools Weakened NA Mean 5665 (smaller # = closer set of polygons)  # of Schools Weakened NA Mean 5665 (smaller # = closer set of polygons)  # of Schools Weakened NA Mean 5665 (smaller # = closer set of polygons)  # of Schools Weakened NA Mean 5665 (smaller # = closer set of polygons)  # of Schools Weakened NA Mean 5665 (smaller # = closer set of polygons)  # of Schools Weakened NA Mean 5665 (smaller # = closer set of polygons)  # of Schools Weakened NA Mean 5665 (smaller # = closer set of polygons)  # of Schools Weakened NA Mean 5665 (smaller # = closer set of polygons)  # of Schools Weakened NA Mean 5665 (smaller # = closer set of polygons)  # of Schools Weakened NA Mean 5665 (smaller # = closer set of polygons)  # of Schools Weakened NA Mean 5665 (smaller # = closer set of polygons)  # of Schools Weakened NA Mean 5665 (smaller # = closer set of polygons)  # of Double Small Feeds  # of Schools Weakened NA Mean 5665 (smaller # = closer set of polygons)  # of Double Small Feeds  # of Double Small Feeds	Elementary School Summary		Current	Aggregate Plar	n Assessment Criteria
Transportation Cost Change  (pos=savings; neg=cost)  # of Schools Strengthened # of Schools Weakened Mean 5565 (smaller # = closer set of polygons)  Students in walk area, percentage of change  Small MS from ES Feeds (under 15%)  Double Small Feed  Non-contiguous Attendance Areas  Number of "Islands" 4  Students moved within 5 yrs of last ES move  Students Moved  WEAKNESS  (pos=savings; neg=cost)  # of Schools Strengthened NA MA	Years between 90-110%	# of Schools Weakened	NA	2 6.0	STRENGTH; reduced by 1.0 or more =
# of Schools Weakened MA Mean 55655 (smaller # = closer set of polygons)  # of Schools Strengthened Precentage of change  Students in walk area, percentage of change  Small MS from ES Feeds (under 15%)  Double Small Feed  Non-contiguous Attendance Areas  Number of "Islands"	Transportation Cost Change	(pos=savings; neg=cost)	NA		reduced = WEAKNESS; otherwise
Students in walk area, percentage of change  # of Schools Weakened Percentage of change  # of Schools Weakened Percentage of change  # of Schools Weakened WEAKNESS  # of Double Small Feeds (under 15%)  # of Double Small Feeds	Proximity to school	# of Schools Weakened Mean	NA 5565	11 5883	STRENGTH; increased by 100 or more =
Weakness   Count   C				1	STRENGTH; decreased by 2% or more
Non-contiguous Attendance Areas  Number of "Islands"  Number of "After" count lower than "Before" = STRENGTH; "After" higher = WEAKNESS  NEAKNESS  Number of "After" count lower than "Before" = STRENGTH; "After" higher = WEAKNESS  NEAKNESS  Number of "Islands"  Number of "After" count lower than "Before" = STRENGTH; "After" higher = WEAKNESS  Number of "Islands"  Number of "After" count lower than "Before" = STRENGTH; "After" higher = WEAKNESS  Number of "After" count lower than "Before" = STRENGTH; "After" higher = Number of "After" count lower than "Before" = Number of "After "Number of "After "Number of Standard Number of Standard Number of Standard Number					9
Non-contiguous Attendance Areas  Number NA Students moved within 5 yrs of last ES move  Number moved in Number moved out  Na  Na  Na  Na  Na  Na  Na  Na  Na  N	Double Small Feed	# of Double Small Feeds	1	_	STRENGTH; "After" higher =
Students moved within 5 yrs of last ES move  Number moved in Number moved out  Numbe	_	Number of "Islands"	4	_	STRENGTH; "After" higher =
Students Moved  Number moved out NA  1922 between the number of students moved, the outcomes of other standards achieved in Section IV.B. and the length of time those results are expected to be maintained.				0.0%	
Strength Negligible Weakness	Students Moved				between the number of students moved, the outcomes of other standards achieved in Section IV.B. and the length of time those results are expected to be
	Strength	Negligible	Weakness		

Middle School Summary		Current	Aggregate Plan	Assessment Criteria
Years between 90-110%	# of Schools Strengthened # of Schools Weakened Mean	NA NA 6.9	5 0 8.2 STRENGTH	Mean increased by 1.0 or more = STRENGTH; reduced by 1.0 or more = WEAKNESS; otherwise Negligible
Transportation Cost Change	(pos=savings; neg=cost)	NA	0.00 NEGLIGIBLE	Mean increased = STRENGTH; mean reduced = WEAKNESS; otherwise Negligible
Proximity to school	# of Schools Strengthened # of Schools Weakened Mean (smaller # = closer set of po		4 5 8313 <b>NEGLIGIBLE</b>	Mean reduced by 100 or more = STRENGTH; increased by 100 or more = WEAKNESS; otherwise Negligible
Students in walk area, percentage of change	# of Schools Strengthened # of Schools Weakened	NA NA	0 0 <b>NEGLIGIBLE</b>	Mean 2% increase or more = STRENGTH; decreased by 2% or more = WEAKNESS; otherwise Negligible
Small MS from ES Feeds (under 15%)	# of Small Feeds	19	17 STRENGTH	"After" count lower than "Before" = STRENGTH; "After" higher = WEAKNESS; otherwise Negligible
Double Small Feed	# of Double Small Feeds	1	2 WEAKNESS	"After" count lower than "Before" = STRENGTH; "After" higher = WEAKNESS; otherwise Negligible
Non-contiguous Attendance Areas	Number of "Islands"	0	0 NEGLIGIBLE	"After" count lower than "Before" = STRENGTH; "After" higher = WEAKNESS; otherwise Negligible
Students moved within 5 yrs of last ES move	Number % of Enrollment		0 0.0% <b>0</b>	
Students Moved	Number moved in Number moved out		313 313	Take into account the correlation between the number of students moved, the outcomes of other standards achieved in Section IV.B. and the length of time those results are expected to be maintained.
Strength	Negligible	Weakness		

	As	ian		r African erican	Hisp	Hispanic Two or more		W	White	
Elementary School	Base	Proposed	Base	Proposed	Base	Proposed	Base	Proposed	Base	Proposed
Atholton ES	9%	10%	19%	18%	10%	9%	9%	11%	53%	53%
Bellows Spring ES	24%	23%	23%	23%	11%	10%	6%	6%	35%	37%
Bollman Bridge ES	10%	10%	36%	37%	19%	18%	7%	8%	28%	27%
Bryant Woods ES	<5%	7%	54%	49%	11%	14%	10%	11%	21%	18%
Bushy Park ES	13%	21%	7%	7%	<5%	<5%	<5%	<5%	71%	63%
Centennial Lane ES	46%	47%	6%	<5%	<5%	<5%	8%	8%	36%	37%
Clarksville ES	52%	47%	6%	6%	<5%	<5%	<5%	<5%	35%	40%
Clemens Crossing ES	16%	10%	12%	15%	10%	12%	10%	12%	51%	51%
Cradlerock ES	7%	7%	45%	49%	15%	15%	10%	10%	22%	19%
Dayton Oaks ES	23%	24%	8%	8%	<5%	<5%	<5%	<5%	60%	58%
Deep Run ES	16%	20%	13%	17%	38%	35%	6%	5%	26%	23%
Ducketts Lane ES	18%	15%	37%	35%	18%	20%	<5%	<5%	23%	24%
Elkridge ES	14%	14%	24%	24%	8%	8%	7%	7%	47%	47%
Forest Ridge ES	25%	25%	33%	34%	12%	13%	7%	7%	21%	21%
Fulton ES	30%	31%	12%	12%	<5%	<5%	8%	8%	46%	45%
Gorman Crossing ES	25%	24%	33%	35%	14%	14%	6%	5%	22%	21%
Guilford ES	12%	11%	47%	49%	11%	11%	8%	9%	22%	20%
Hammond ES	14%	14%	29%	30%	11%	10%	7%	8%	38%	38%
Hollifield Station ES	43%	42%	12%	12%	13%	13%	<5%	<5%	28%	30%
Ilchester ES	26%	25%	8%	8%	<5%	<5%	6%	6%	57%	58%
Jeffers Hill ES	15%	16%	37%	35%	15%	16%	10%	10%	22%	22%
Laurel Woods ES	10%	9%	51%	51%	22%	22%	8%	7%	9%	9%
Lisbon ES	<5%	5%	<5%	<5%	9%	9%	6%	6%	77%	76%
Longfellow ES	9%	7%	37%	45%	21%	18%	11%	10%	21%	18%
Manor Woods ES	47%	48%	6%	6%	<5%	<5%	<5%	<5%	39%	39%
New ES #42	<5%	15%	<5%	41%	<5%	20%	<5%	6%	<5%	19%
Northfield ES	29%	29%	8%	9%	6%	6%	8%	7%	49%	50%
Phelps Luck ES	6%	5%	40%	40%	30%	29%	8%	9%	17%	17%
Pointers Run ES	32%	34%	8%	8%	<5%	<5%	<5%	<5%	52%	49%
Rockburn ES	18%	18%	20%	11%	7%	<5%	7%	9%	48%	57%
Running Brook ES	<5%	<5%	51%	52%	13%	12%	10%	9%	20%	22%
St Johns Lane ES	39%	40%	11%	11%	<5%	<5%	<5%	<5%	44%	44%
Stevens Forest ES	<5%	<5%	37%	38%	32%	34%	9%	9%	17%	15%
Swansfield ES	6%	6%	51%	51%	15%	15%	8%	8%	20%	20%
Talbott Springs ES	<5%	<5%	37%	37%	24%	22%	10%	11%	25%	26%
Thunder Hill ES	17%	16%	26%	26%	9%	9%	8%	9%	40%	39%
Triadelphia Ridge ES	29%	28%	6%	6%	6%	<5%	9%	8%	51%	53%
Veterans ES	51%	50%	14%	14%	5%	<5%	<5%	<5%	26%	27%
Waterloo ES	20%	21%	30%	32%	6%	7%	7%	8%	36%	33%
Waverly ES	40%	38%	6%	7%	<5%	<5%	<5%	<5%	49%	48%
West Friendship ES	22%	22%	<5%	<5%	<5%	<5%	6%	<5%	64%	65%
Worthington ES	35%	34%	7%	8%	<5%	5%	<5%	5%	48%	47%
Countywide Average	22	.4%	22	.6%	11	.2%		6.8%		36.1%

American Indian/Alaska Native and Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander are not shown as there is less than 5% population at each of the schools.

These percentages are calculated from Spring 2016 student data using geographic assignments, current and proposed attendance areas. These numbers may not exactly match other reported numbers due to differences in timing and methodology.

<sup>&</sup>quot;<5%" indicates a school with less than 5% population for the specified race/ethnicity.

	Asian Black or African American		His	Hispanic		Two or more		Vhite		
Middle School	Base	Proposed	Base	Proposed	Base	Proposed	Base	Proposed	Base	Proposed
Bonnie Branch MS	14%	14%	26%	26%	13%	13%	8%	8%	39%	39%
Burleigh Manor MS	43%	43%	9%	9%	<5%	<5%	7%	7%	36%	36%
Clarksville MS	37%	36%	6%	7%	<5%	5%	5%	6%	48%	47%
Dunloggin MS	34%	34%	18%	18%	8%	8%	<5%	<5%	38%	38%
Elkridge Landing MS	13%	13%	19%	19%	6%	7%	6%	7%	54%	54%
Ellicott Mills MS	31%	31%	14%	14%	<5%	<5%	<5%	<5%	45%	45%
Folly Quarter MS	24%	23%	5%	5%	<5%	<5%	6%	6%	61%	62%
Glenwood MS	7%	7%	<5%	<5%	<5%	<5%	6%	6%	77%	77%
Hammond MS	11%	11%	25%	25%	10%	10%	8%	8%	46%	46%
Harpers Choice MS	9%	9%	45%	46%	15%	16%	7%	7%	25%	22%
Lake Elkhorn MS	7%	7%	53%	53%	14%	14%	8%	8%	17%	17%
Lime Kiln MS	26%	25%	13%	14%	5%	<5%	8%	8%	48%	49%
Mayfield Woods MS	13%	13%	29%	30%	18%	19%	6%	6%	33%	32%
Mount View MS	32%	34%	6%	6%	<5%	<5%	<5%	5%	54%	53%
Murray Hill MS	18%	18%	43%	43%	19%	19%	5%	5%	16%	16%
Oakland Mills MS	5%	5%	40%	40%	21%	21%	9%	9%	25%	25%
Patapsco MS	29%	29%	11%	11%	8%	8%	<5%	<5%	47%	47%
Patuxent Valley MS	14%	14%	36%	36%	16%	16%	6%	6%	27%	27%
Thomas Viaduct MS	14%	14%	45%	45%	18%	18%	5%	5%	17%	17%
Wilde Lake MS	7%	6%	48%	47%	11%	11%	8%	8%	25%	27%
Countywide Average	2	20%		24%		10%		6%		39%

American Indian/Alaska Native and Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander are not shown as there is less than 5% population at each of the schools.

These percentages are calculated from Spring 2016 student data using geographic assignments, current and proposed attendance areas. These numbers may not exactly match other reported numbers due to differences in timing and methodology.

<sup>&</sup>quot;<5%" indicates a school with less than 5% population for the specified race/ethnicity.

% ESOL Participation

Elementary School	% ESOL Participation			
	Base	Proposed		
Atholton ES	<5%	<5%		
Bellows Spring ES	10%	10%		
Bollman Bridge ES	13%	13%		
Bryant Woods ES	5%	6%		
Bushy Park ES	<5%	<5%		
Centennial Lane ES	7%	7%		
Clarksville ES	<5%	<5%		
Clemens Crossing ES	<5%	<5%		
Cradlerock ES	8%	8%		
Dayton Oaks ES	<5%	<5%		
Deep Run ES	21%	22%		
Ducketts Lane ES	14%	16%		
Elkridge ES	<5%	<5%		
Forest Ridge ES	9%	6%		
Fulton ES	6%	6%		
Gorman Crossing ES	7%	7%		
Guilford ES	6%	6%		
Hammond ES	6%	6%		
Hollifield Station ES	15%	15%		
Ilchester ES	<5%	<5%		
Jeffers Hill ES	9%	9%		
Laurel Woods ES	10%	13%		
Lisbon ES	<5%	<5%		
Longfellow ES	9%	8%		
Manor Woods ES	10%	11%		
New ES #42	<5%	13%		
Northfield ES	6%	6%		
Phelps Luck ES	18%	18%		
Pointers Run ES	<5%	<5%		
Rockburn ES	<5%	<5%		
Running Brook ES	5%	<5%		
St Johns Lane ES	8%	8%		
Stevens Forest ES	20%	20%		
Swansfield ES	6%	6%		
Talbott Springs ES	8%	8%		
Thunder Hill ES	<5%	<5%		
Triadelphia Ridge ES	<5%	<5%		
Veterans ES	12%	12%		
Waterloo ES	7%	7%		
Waverly ES	<5%	<5%		
West Friendship ES	<5%	11%		
Worthington ES	<5%	<5%		
_				
Countywide Average	8'	%		

- \* In adherence with the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act of 1974 (FERPA), which restricts access to student records, values <5% have been replaced with "<5%".
- \*\* These percentages are calculated from Spring 2016 student data using geographic assignment, aggregated by current and proposed attendance areas. These numbers may not exactly match other reported numbers due to differences in timing and methodology.
- \*\*\* This chart shows the % of students receiving English Second Language support living in each schools' attendance area before and after the proposed redistricting plan.

## % ESOL Participation

Middle School	Base Proposed		
Bonnie Branch MS	5%	5%	
Burleigh Manor MS	<5%	<5%	
Clarksville MS	<5%	<5%	
Dunloggin MS	<5%	<5%	
Elkridge Landing MS	<5%	<5%	
Ellicott Mills MS	<5%	<5%	
Folly Quarter MS	<5%	<5%	
Glenwood MS	<5%	<5%	
Hammond MS	<5%	<5%	
Harpers Choice MS	5%	6%	
Lake Elkhorn MS	<5%	<5%	
Lime Kiln MS	<5%	<5%	
Mayfield Woods MS	<5%	<5%	
Mount View MS	<5%	<5%	
Murray Hill MS	<5%	<5%	
Oakland Mills MS	6%	6%	
Patapsco MS	<5%	<5%	
Patuxent Valley MS	6%	6%	
Thomas Viaduct MS	6%	6%	
Wilde Lake MS	<5%	<5%	
Countywide Average	3	1%	

- \* In adherence with the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act of 1974 (FERPA), which restricts access to student records, values <5% have been replaced with "<5%".
- \*\* These percentages are calculated from Spring 2016 student data using geographic assignment, aggregated by current and proposed attendance areas. These numbers may not exactly match other reported numbers due to differences in timing and methodology.
- \*\*\* This chart shows the % of students receiving English Second Language support living in each schools' attendance area before and after the proposed redistricting plan.

## Recommendation for SY 2018-19 Redistricting % of students on FARMs by school

School Name	Current F	roposed	School Name	Current Proposed
Atholton ES	15%	15%	Bonnie Branch MS	27% 27%
Bellows Spring ES	15%	15%	Burleigh Manor MS	8% 8%
Bollman Bridge ES	46%	46%	Clarksville MS	<5% <5%
Bryant Woods ES	56%	51%	Dunloggin MS	16% 16%
Bushy Park ES	<5%	<5%	Elkridge Landing MS	15% 15%
Centennial Lane ES	5%	5%	Ellicott Mills MS	10% 10%
Clarksville ES	<5%	<5%	Folly Quarter MS	<5% <5%
Clemens Crossing ES	9%	12%	Glenwood MS	6% 6%
Cradlerock ES	54%	54%	Hammond MS	18% 18%
Dayton Oaks ES	5%	5%	Harpers Choice MS	46% 49%
Deep Run ES	53%	54%	Lake Elkhorn MS	47% 47%
Ducketts Lane ES	40%	48%	Lime Kiln MS	<5% <5%
Elkridge ES	26%	26%	Mayfield Woods MS	34% 36%
Forest Ridge ES	30%	30%	Mount View MS	<5% <5%
Fulton ES	<5%	<5%	Murray Hill MS	37% 37%
Gorman Crossing ES	18%	18%	Oakland Mills MS	49% 49%
Guilford ES	53%	53%	Patapsco MS	16% 16%
Hammond ES	24%	24%	Patuxent Valley MS	34% 34%
Hollifield Station ES	23%	23%	Thomas Viaduct MS	46% 46%
Ilchester ES	<5%	<5%	Wilde Lake MS	43% 42%
Jeffers Hill ES	34%	34%		
Laurel Woods ES	57%	57%	System-wide total	22%
Lisbon ES	13%	13%		
Longfellow ES	47%	51%		
Manor Woods ES	7%	7%		
New ES #42	0%	36%		
Northfield ES	6%	6%		
Phelps Luck ES	63%	63%		
Pointers Run ES	<5%	<5%		
Rockburn ES	17%	6%		
Running Brook ES	48%	47%		
St Johns Lane ES	10%	11%		
Stevens Forest ES	67%	67%		
Swansfield ES	56%	56%		
Talbott Springs ES	50%	50%		
Thunder Hill ES	21%	21%		
Triadelphia Ridge ES	<5%	<5%		
Veterans ES	24%	24%		
Waterloo ES	26%	24%		
Waverly ES	<5%	<5%		
West Friendship ES	5%	<5%		
Worthington ES	5%	5%		
System-wide total	24%	ó		

## Recommendation for SY 2018-19 Redistricting % of students Scoring "Proficient" on PARCC- Math

School Name	Current	Proposed
Atholton ES	60%	60%
Bellows Spring ES	59%	
Bollman Bridge ES	37%	
Bryant Woods ES	41%	
Bushy Park ES	60%	60%
Centennial Lane ES	83%	83%
Clarksville ES	85%	
Clemens Crossing ES	68%	
Cradlerock ES	32%	
Dayton Oaks ES	73%	
Deep Run ES	38%	
Ducketts Lane ES	44%	
Elkridge ES	38%	
Forest Ridge ES	44%	
Fulton ES	70%	
Gorman Crossing ES	61%	61%
Guilford ES	37%	
Hammond ES	58%	
Hollifield Station ES	50%	
Ilchester ES	73%	
Jeffers Hill ES	36%	
Laurel Woods ES	46%	
Lisbon ES	59%	
Longfellow ES	40%	
Manor Woods ES	70%	73%
New ES #42	0%	40%
Northfield ES	63%	63%
Phelps Luck ES	34%	34%
Pointers Run ES	79%	79%
Rockburn ES	61%	70%
Running Brook ES	29%	27%
St Johns Lane ES	69%	70%
Stevens Forest ES	39%	39%
Swansfield ES	36%	36%
Talbott Springs ES	39%	39%
Thunder Hill ES	56%	56%
Triadelphia Ridge ES	77%	75%
Veterans ES	63%	63%
Waterloo ES	55%	55%
Waverly ES	77%	76%
West Friendship ES	76%	73%
Worthington ES	75%	75%

System-wide total 57%

	_	
School Name	Current	Proposed
Bonnie Branch MS	53%	53%
Burleigh Manor MS	75%	76%
Clarksville MS	84%	81%
Dunloggin MS	64%	64%
Elkridge Landing MS	46%	46%
Ellicott Mills MS	66%	66%
Folly Quarter MS	83%	83%
Glenwood MS	64%	64%
Hammond MS	50%	50%
Harpers Choice MS	35%	33%
Lake Elkhorn MS	26%	26%
Lime Kiln MS	68%	68%
Mayfield Woods MS	41%	40%
Mount View MS	74%	74%
Murray Hill MS	39%	39%
Oakland Mills MS	28%	28%
Patapsco MS	65%	65%
Patuxent Valley MS	37%	37%
Thomas Viaduct MS	28%	28%
Wilde Lake MS	30%	31%

System-wide total 54%

The data provided is comprised of all 2017 test takers in grades 3-8 with a PARCC English or PARCC Math score. Students were marked proficient based on the criteria below.

Grade	English	English Prof	Math	Math Prof
	Assessment	Level	Assessment	Level
3-8	PARCC ELA	750	PARCC Math	750

## Recommendation for SY 2018-19 Redistricting % of students Scoring "Proficient" on PARCC- English

School Name

Clarksville MS

Dunloggin MS

Ellicott Mills MS

Folly Quarter MS

Harpers Choice MS

Mayfield Woods MS

Lake Elkhorn MS

Mount View MS

Murray Hill MS

Patapsco MS

Wilde Lake MS

Oakland Mills MS

Patuxent Valley MS

**Thomas Viaduct MS** 

System-wide total

Lime Kiln MS

Glenwood MS

Hammond MS

Bonnie Branch MS

Burleigh Manor MS

Elkridge Landing MS

School Name	Current	Proposed
Atholton ES	64%	64%
Bellows Spring ES	64%	64%
Bollman Bridge ES	35%	35%
Bryant Woods ES	37%	42%
Bushy Park ES	67%	67%
Centennial Lane ES	77%	77%
Clarksville ES	85%	84%
Clemens Crossing ES	69%	67%
Cradlerock ES	41%	41%
Dayton Oaks ES	66%	66%
Deep Run ES	39%	41%
Ducketts Lane ES	47%	46%
Elkridge ES	45%	45%
Forest Ridge ES	42%	42%
Fulton ES	69%	69%
Gorman Crossing ES	60%	60%
Guilford ES	32%	32%
Hammond ES	55%	55%
Hollifield Station ES	56%	56%
Ilchester ES	75%	75%
Jeffers Hill ES	38%	38%
Laurel Woods ES	37%	37%
Lisbon ES	58%	59%
Longfellow ES	40%	39%
Manor Woods ES	67%	67%
New ES #42	0%	43%
Northfield ES	72%	72%
Phelps Luck ES	39%	39%
Pointers Run ES	74%	74%
Rockburn ES	68%	74%
Running Brook ES	36%	33%
St Johns Lane ES	67%	65%
Stevens Forest ES	31%	31%
Swansfield ES	37%	37%
Talbott Springs ES	46%	46%
Thunder Hill ES	60%	60%
Triadelphia Ridge ES	71%	71%
Veterans ES	60%	60%
Waterloo ES	68%	67%
Waverly ES	78%	78%
West Friendship ES	76%	
Worthington ES	61%	61%

58%

System-wide total

The data provided is comprised of all 2017 test takers in grades 3-8 with a PARCC English or PARCC Math score. Students were marked

proficient based on the criteria below.

Grade	English	English Prof	Math	Math Prof
	Assessment	Level	Assessment	Level
3-8	PARCC ELA	750	PARCC Math	750

Proposed

48%

78%

81%

69%

55%

65%

79%

70%

61%

31%

31%

71%

42%

72%

39%

31%

57%

42%

36%

40%

Current

48%

78%

84%

69%

55%

65%

78%

70%

61%

34%

31%

72%

43%

73%

39%

31%

57%

42%

36%

38%

56%