Bridge to Excellence Progress Report

Goal 1

Fall 2009

The Howard County Public School System

Dr. Sydney L. Cousin Superintendent



Overview	1
Goal 1 Indicators Grades K-5	2-12
Goal 1 Indicators Grades 6-8	
Goal 1 Indicators Grades 9-12	24-30
Summary	

 Appendix: Trend Performance Bridge to Excellence Indicators
 Elementary Schools (Grades K-5)
 Middle Schools (Grades 6-8)
 High Schools (Grades 9-12)

Strategic planning is crucial to any organization and the Howard County Public School System (HCPSS) has a long tradition of using strategic planning to guide systemic efforts. In recent years, the *Bridge to Excellence Comprehensive Master Plan* has been used as the primary strategic planning document for the HCPSS. The Plan is submitted to the Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE) annually.

The Bridge to Excellence Comprehensive Master Plan includes the HCPSS mission, to ensure excellence in teaching and learning so that each student will participate responsibly in a diverse and changing world, as well as the goals established to fulfill the mission. The goals are:

- Goal 1: Each child, regardless of race, ethnicity, gender, disability, or socioeconomic status, will meet the rigorous performance standards that have been established. All diploma-bound students will perform on or above grade level in all measured content areas.
- Goal 2: Each school will provide a safe and nurturing school environment that values our diversity and commonality.

These over-arching goals have a number of indicators, or specific target areas, for elementary, middle and high schools. Local standards of performance are associated with each indicator. The purpose of the local standards is to set a climate of high expectations that will enable all schools to meet and exceed state standards.

This *Bridge to Excellence Goal 1 Progress Report* offers a comprehensive summary of performance of the school system on the Goal 1 local standards during the 2008-2009 school year. The information provided in this report is monitored throughout the year as part of a systemic continuous improvement process. The report is presented in the following sections:

Grade Level	Goal 1 Indicators						
Grades K-5	Adequate Yearly Progress, Grade 2 Stanford Achievement Test Tenth Edition (SAT 10), Maryland School Assessment (MSA), Gifted and Talented (GT) enrollment, and GT performance on the MSA. The progress of the students in Grades 2 to 5 at Cradlerock School is also included in this section.						
Grades 6-8	Adequate Yearly Progress, Maryland School Assessment, Algebra High School Assessment, Gifted and Talented (GT) enrollment, and GT performance on the MSA. The progress of the students in Grades 6 to 8 at Cradlerock School is also included in this section.						
Grades 9-12	Adequate Yearly Progress, High School Assessments, Gifted and Talented/Honors/Advanced Placement enrollment, and SAT participation.						

An overview of individual school performance on each standard is presented in the Appendix. Data are not presented for some student groups on some indicators due to small numbers (fewer than 5 students), which could compromise confidentiality. An asterisk will appear instead.

Indicator:Grade 2 Test – Stanford Achievement Test, Tenth Edition (SAT 10)Standard:Elementary schools must have a minimum of 70 percent of students scoring at a
proficient level in reading and mathematics

The Stanford Achievement Test, Tenth Edition (SAT 10) has been administered to students in Grade 2 since spring 2007. Results from this test, in combination with results from local assessments and other measures, provide schools and parents a first look into students' performance in reading and mathematics. For students, the SAT 10 provides their first experience at taking a test that is timed and administered over multiple days. This experience helps prepare them to take the Maryland School Assessment in Grade 3 as required by the *No Child Left Behind* Act.

Results

Comparison of the 2008–2009 school-byschool data relative to 2006–2007, the baseline year, shows a substantial improvement on the number of schools that meet the SAT 10 standard in reading or mathematics or both (Figure 1).

- Of the 40 elementary schools, 33 schools (83 percent) met the reading or mathematics standard, a six-point gain in reading and a nine-point gain in mathematics, respectively.
- Thirty-one schools (78 percent) met both standards, a gain of six points.

Figure 1. Percentage of Schools Meeting the SAT 10 Standard



Examination of student performance also reveals improvements in all areas since 2006–2007.

- Overall, of the 3,400 Grade 2 students who participated in the 2008–2009 testing, 83 percent (2,822 students) scored at a proficient level in reading, a gain of two points. In mathematics, the overall gain was four points (Tables 1 and 2).
- Several student groups achieved the 70 percent standard. Of the student groups that did not meet the standard, most showed improvement over the 2006–2007 performance, as shown in Tables 1 and 2 and Figures 2 and 3. The Hispanic student group, in particular, has seen double digit gains in mathematics from the baseline administration of the SAT 10.

Student	Number Tested		Number Proficient		Percent Proficient		Change in	
Group	2006– 2007	2008– 2009	2006– 2007	2008– 2009	2006– 2007	2008– 2009	Proficient	
Overall	3,266	3,400	2,636	2,822	81	83	+2	
Male	1,749	1,740	1,363	1,377	78	79	+1	
Female	1,517	1,660	1,273	1,445	84	87	+3	
Asian	514	579	442	527	86	91	+5	
African American	709	714	452	493	64	69	+5	
White	1,763	1,694	1,549	1,495	88	88	0	
Hispanic	174	212	100	136	57	64	+7	
Am. Ind./Alaskan	7	11	5	9	71	82	+11	
Not Reported	99	190	88	162	89	85	-4	
ELL	182	202	85	109	47	54	+7	
FARMS	439	497	208	293	47	59	+12	
Special Education	259	221	98	95	38	43	+5	

Table 1: Number and Percent of Grade 2 Students Achieving Proficiency in Reading, SAT 10

Table 2: Number and Percent of Grade 2 Students Achieving Proficiency in Mathematics, S	AT	1	I C)
---	----	---	-----	---

Student	Number Tested		Number Proficient		Percent Proficient		Change in Percent	
Group	2006– 2007	2008– 2009	2006– 2007	2008– 2009	2006– 2007	2008– 2009	Proficient	
Overall	3,266	3,400	2,551	2,772	78	82	+4	
Male	1,749	1,740	1,359	1,399	78	80	+2	
Female	1,517	1,660	1,192	1,373	79	83	+4	
Asian	514	579	443	529	86	91	+5	
African American	709	714	412	445	58	62	+4	
White	1,763	1,694	1,516	1,497	86	88	+2	
Hispanic	174	212	95	138	55	65	+10	
Am. Ind./Alaskan	7	11	5	7	71	64	-7	
Not Reported	99	190	80	156	81	82	+1	
ELL	182	202	98	122	54	60	+6	
FARMS	439	497	200	259	46	52	+6	
Special Education	259	221	114	95	44	43	-1	



Figure 2: Percent of Grade 2 Students Achieving SAT 10 Proficiency in Reading





Indicator:Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)Standard:All schools will meet AYP

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) is the measure of progress schools must make annually toward the attainment of 100 percent student proficiency in reading and mathematics under the *No Child Left Behind (NCLB)* Act. Elementary schools must meet state-established proficiency targets, or Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) on the Maryland School Assessment in reading and mathematics for students in Grades 3 through 5 in order to meet AYP. In addition to proficiency in reading and mathematics, schools must also have 95 percent student participation in testing and show progress in one additional indicator. For Maryland elementary schools, that indicator is attendance. In 2008–2009, the targets for elementary schools were as follows:

Reading76.5 %Mathematics74.2 %Attendance94.0 %Participation95.0 %

Results

In the 2008–2009 school year, 38 out of 40 elementary schools (95 percent) met AYP. Of the two schools that missed AYP, one missed it for Asian students in reading; the other missed it for Hispanic students in mathematics.

Both schools entered the list of schools that need local attention. These schools must meet AYP in 2009–2010 to avoid entering the state's school improvement process. Figure 4. Percentage of Schools that Met AYP, 2008-2009



Since 2002–2003, the baseline year, the percentage of elementary schools that have achieved AYP has remained consistently above 90 percent (Table 3).

School Year	Number of Elementary Schools	Number Elementary Schools Meeting AYP	Percentage Elementary Schools Meeting AYP	
2002–2003	37	36	97	
2008–2009	40	38	95	

Table 3: Number and Percent of Schools Meeting AYP, 2002–2003 and 2008–2009

Indicator: Maryland School Assessment (MSA)

Standard: A minimum of 70 percent of students in every student group score proficient or advanced in reading and mathematics

Maryland uses the Maryland School Assessment (MSA) to measure student performance in reading and mathematics to meet the Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) proficiency requirements of NCLB. Included in the measure are students who take the Alternate MSA (Alt-MSA), the assessment designed for students with severe cognitive difficulties. Students scoring at or above state standards on these tests are deemed proficient. In elementary schools, scores from these tests are aggregated across Grades 3 through 5 to determine a school's AYP status under NCLB.

Results

In 2008–2009, about half of the 40 elementary schools (19 schools, or 48 percent) achieved the local standard of 70 percent of students in every student group scoring proficient or advanced on the MSA in reading. About a third, (11 schools, or 28 percent) met the standard in mathematics. Eleven schools (28 percent) met both standards.

Overall, all student groups met the MSA standard in reading in 2008–2009. In mathematics, all student groups met the standard, except English Language Learners (68 percent), students receiving Free and Reduced-Price Meals services (69 percent) and special education students (59 percent). Since the first administration of the MSA in 2002–2003, HCPSS students have made sustained, steady gains in reading and mathematics. Most notably the gains of Hispanic, African American and special services student groups have brought the schools closer to meeting the *No Child Left Behind* goal of having all students reach proficiency by 2014 (Tables 4 and 5 and Figures 5 and 6).

Student	Number Tested		Number Proficient		Percent Proficient		Change in Percent	
Group	2002– 2003	2008– 2009	2002– 2003	2008– 2009	2002– 2003	2008– 2009	Proficient	
Overall	7,323	10,713	6,000	9,974	82	93	+11	
Male	3,807	5,628	3,011	5,158	79	92	+13	
Female	3,516	5,085	2,989	4,816	85	95	+10	
Asian	780	1,737	687	1,659	88	96	+8	
African American	1,393	2,385	886	2,042	64	86	+22	
White	4,790	5,661	4,208	5,468	88	97	+9	
Hispanic	277	560	159	460	57	82	+25	
Am. Ind./Alaskan	13	30	7	28	54	93	+39	
Not Reported	70	340	53	317	76	93	+17	
ELL	228	439	91	322	40	73	+33	
FARMS	725	1,569	372	1,236	51	79	+28	
Special Education	566	915	266	649	47	71	+24	

Table 4:	Number and Percent of Students Achieving Proficiency in Reading, MSA, Grades 3–5
	2002–2003 and 2008–2009

Table 5: Number and Percent of Students Achieving Proficiency in Mathematics, MSA, Grades 3–5 2002–2003 and 2008–2009

Student	Number Tested		Number Proficient		Percent Proficient		Change in Percent	
Group	2002– 2003	2008– 2009	2002– 2003	2008– 2009	2002– 2003	2008– 2009	Proficient	
Overall	7,322	10,761	5,928	9,653	81	90	+9	
Male	3,806	5,656	3,094	5,028	81	89	+8	
Female	3,516	5,105	2,834	4,625	81	91	+10	
Asian	780	1,770	712	1,690	91	96	+5	
African American	1,392	2,393	817	1,869	59	78	+19	
White	4,790	5,659	4,175	5,359	87	95	+8	
Hispanic	277	567	161	408	58	72	+14	
Am. Ind./Alaskan	13	31	8	23	62	74	+12	
Not Reported	70	341	55	304	79	89	+10	
ELL	227	488	127	331	56	68	+12	
FARMS	725	1,585	354	1,098	49	69	+20	
Special Education	566	913	251	541	44	59	+15	



Figure 5: MSA Percent Proficient or Advanced by Student Group, 2002–2003 and 2008–2009 Reading, Grades 3–5

Figure 6: MSA Percent Proficient or Advanced by Student Group, 2002–2003 and 2008–2009 Mathematics, Grades 3–5



Indicator: Gifted and Talented (GT) Enrollment

Standard: A minimum enrollment of 15 percent in Grades 4 and 5 mathematics

The HCPSS recognizes and responds to the needs of a diverse learning community including students with exceptional abilities and creative talents. The Gifted and Talented (GT) Program offers opportunities for students at advanced levels in academic areas and visual and performing arts. Program implementation varies at the elementary, middle and high school levels.

Results

The number of schools meeting the GT enrollment standard has remained consistently high since the 2003–2004 school year (Table 6). Thirty-seven of the 40 elementary schools (93 percent) met the standard for GT enrollment in 2008–2009. The three schools that did not meet the standard were two percent or less from reaching the standard.

Table 6: Number and Percent of Elementary Schools Meeting the GT Enrollment Standard

School Year	Number of Elementary Schools	Number Elementary Schools Meeting Standard	Percentage Elementary Schools Meeting Standard
2003–2004	38	31	82
2008–2009	40	37	93

Overall, GT enrollment in Grades 4 and 5 mathematics was above the standard in 2003–2004 and remains so in 2008–2009 (Table 7 and Figure 7). However, enrollment of African American and Hispanic students has not reached the standard in either year. This is an area where improvement is desired. Strategies, through the work of Hispanic liaisons and the Black Student Achievement Program, are being implemented.

Table 7: Number and Percent of Students in Grades 4 and 5 Meeting the GT Enrollment Standard, 2003–2004 and 2008–2009

Student Group	Grades 4–5 Number Students Enrolled in Mathematics		Grade Number Stud in GT Ma	es 4–5 lents Enrolled thematics	Grades 4–5 Percent Students Enrolled in GT Math		
	2003–2004	2008–2009	2003–2004	2008–2009	2003–2004	2008–2009	
Overall	7,743	7,245	1,564	1,310	20	18	
Male	4,071	3,787	926	757	23	20	
Female	3,672	3,458	638	553	17	16	
Asian	954	1,167	291	315	31	27	
African American	1,476	1,655	96	117	7	7	
White	4,881	3,822	1,125	814	23	21	
Hispanic	298	390	35	23	12	6	
Am. Ind./Alaskan	19	14	*	*	*	*	
Not Reported	115	197	16	39	14	20	



Figure 7: Percent Enrollment in GT, Elementary School Student Group, 2003–2004 and 2008–2009

Indicator: Gifted and Talented (GT) Performance

Standard: A minimum of 98 percent of GT mathematics students scoring at the proficient or advanced level on the MSA in mathematics

Students enrolled in the Gifted and Talented Program are expected to perform at levels that mirror their advanced abilities. The performance indicator is set to assure that students reach for excellence and that schools are providing the advanced level instruction that will lead to success.

Results

All elementary schools met the standard in 2008–2009 (Table 8).

Table 8: Number and Percent of Elementary Schools Meeting the GT Performance Standard

School Year	Number of Elementary Schools	Number Schools Meeting Standard	Percentage Schools Meeting Standard		
2003–2004	38	36	95		
2008–2009	40	40	100		

All of the student groups met the proficiency standard on the MSA in mathematics in 2008–2009, except the Hispanic student group. These students missed the standard by 0.8 percentage points. Table 9 and Figure 8 displays student group performance of elementary gifted and talented students on the mathematics portion of the MSA.

Table 9.	2003–2004 and 2008–2009		ang the Math	lanuaru,

Table 0: Number and Dereast of CT Elementary Students Masting the Mathematics MCA Standard

Student	Nun Tes	nber sted	Nun Profi	nber cient	Percent Proficient	
Group	2003–2004	2008–2009	2003–2004	2008–2009	2003–2004	2008–2009
Overall	1,564	1,907	1,561	1,905	99.8	99.9
Male	926	1,104	924	1,102	99.8	99.8
Female	638	803	637	803	99.8	100.0
Asian	285	473	284	472	99.6	99.8
African American	92	169	92	169	100.0	100.0
White	1,152	1,186	1,150	1,186	99.8	100.0
Hispanic	18	36	18	35	100.0	97.2
Am. Ind./Alaskan	*	*	*	*	*	*
Not Reported	16	39	16	39	100.0	100.0



Figure 8: Percent of GT Students Scoring Proficient or Advanced on the Mathematics MSA

Indicator:Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)Standard:All schools will meet AYP

As with elementary schools, middle schools must meet state-established proficiency targets, or Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs) on the Maryland School Assessment in reading and mathematics for students in Grades 6 through 8 in order to meet AYP. Middle schools must also meet the AMO for attendance. Furthermore, schools must have at least 95 percent student participation in MSA testing.

The targets set for middle schools are specific to that school type and apply to all students and identified student groups. If the AMOs are met, the school is said to have met AYP. In 2008–2009, the AMOs for middle schools, Grades 6–8, were as follows:

Reading75.9%Mathematics64.3 %Attendance93.6 %Participation95.0 %

Results

In 2008–2009, 18 out of 19 middle schools (95 percent) met AYP (Figure 9).

- One school that is in School Improvement Year 2 met AYP, and will exit School Improvement if it meets AYP in 2009– 2010.
- Another school met AYP for two consecutive years and has exited School Improvement.
- The school that did not meet AYP is in School Improvement Year 1 (has not met AYP for two years) and is now listed as "Focus Developing" status. The HCPSS is closely monitoring this school. Plans for differentiated staffing and support to this school's improvement efforts are in place.



Figure 9. Percentage of Schools that Met AYP,

Since 2002–2003, the baseline year, the percentage of middle schools that have achieved AYP has remained remarkably strong, particularly in light of the ever-increasing percentage of students scoring at proficient or advanced on the MSA that each school is required every year (Table 10).

School Year	School Year Number of Middle Schools		Percentage Middle Schools Meeting AYP	
2002–2003	18	18	100	
2008–2009	19	18	95	

Table 10: Number and Percent of Middle Schools Meeting AYP, 2002–2003 and 2008–2009

Indicator: Maryland School Assessment (MSA)

Standard: A minimum of 70 percent of students in all student groups score proficient or advanced in reading and mathematics

Maryland uses the MSA to provide evidence for student proficiency in reading and mathematics. Included in the measure are students who take the Alt-MSA, the assessment designed for students with severe cognitive difficulties. Students scoring at or above state standards on these tests are deemed proficient. Scores from these tests are aggregated across Grades 6 through 8 to determine AYP status for middle schools under NCLB.

Results

In 2008–2009, 3 of 19 middle schools (16 percent) achieved the local standard of 70 percent of students in every student group scoring proficient or advanced on the MSA in reading. Two middle schools (11 percent) met the standard in mathematics. One school (5 percent) met both standards.

Overall, all student groups met the MSA standard in reading in 2008–2009, except for the English Language Learners (ELL) and special education students. In mathematics, all student groups, except students receiving special services, met the local standard.

Since the first administration of the MSA in 2002–2003, HCPSS middle school students have made gains in reading and mathematics in every student group, and particularly those groups that have not met the local standard as yet.

- In reading (Table 11 and Figure 10), the greatest increase has occurred for the ELL student group (up 41 points), followed by the Hispanic student group (up 23 points), the special education students (up 21 points), and African American students and students receiving Free and Reduced-Price Meals Services (FARMS) (up 19 points).
- In mathematics (Table 12 and Figure 11), the greatest gains have occurred for African American students (up 39 points), students receiving FARMS services (up 37 points), special education students (up 35 points) and Hispanic students (up 34 points).

Student	Number Tested		Number Proficient		Percent Proficient		Change in Percent	
Group	2002-	2008–	2002-	2008-	2002-	2008–	Proficient	
0	2003	2009	2003	2009	2003	2009		
Overall	3,672	11,732	2,982	10,739	81	92	+11	
Male	1,922	6,153	1,506	5,495	78	89	+11	
Female	1,750	5,579	1,476	5,244	84	94	+10	
Asian	425	1,788	335	1,683	79	94	+15	
African American	643	2,565	402	2,106	63	82	+19	
White	2,472	6,551	2,168	6,257	88	96	+8	
Hispanic	113	605	66	491	58	81	+23	
Am. Ind./Alaskan	*	38	*	29	*	76	*	
Not Reported	15	185	7	173	47	94	+47	
ELL	91	305	15	177	17	58	+41	
FARMS	374	1,551	198	1123	53	72	+19	
Special Education	341	880	145	561	43	64	+21	

Table 11: Number and Percent of Students	Achieving Proficiency in Reading, MSA, Grades 6–8
2002–2003 and 2008–2009	

Table 12: Number and Percent of Students Achieving Proficiency in Mathematics MSA, Grades 6–8 2002–2003 and 2008–2009

Student	Number Tested		Number Proficient		Percent Proficient		Change in Percent	
Gloup	2002-	2008–	2002-	2008–	2002-	2008–	Proficient	
	2003	2009	2003	2009	2003	2009		
Overall	3,672	11,781	2,322	10,238	63	87	+24	
Male	1,922	6,179	1,197	5,291	62	86	+24	
Female	1,750	5,602	1,125	4,947	64	88	+24	
Asian	425	1,815	352	1,742	83	96	+13	
African American	643	2,573	202	1,804	31	70	+39	
White	2,472	6,555	1,716	6,047	69	92	+23	
Hispanic	113	613	46	460	41	75	+34	
Am. Ind./Alaskan	*	39	*	33	*	85	*	
Not Reported	15	186	6	152	40	82	+42	
ELL	91	345	46	236	51	68	+17	
FARMS	374	1,561	94	965	25	62	+37	
Special Education	341	885	66	481	19	54	+35	



Figure 10: MSA Percent Proficient or Advanced by Student Group, 2002–2003 and 2008–2009 Reading, Grades 6–8





Indicator:High School Assessment (HSA) AlgebraStandard:A minimum of 95 percent of students pass the Algebra HSA

Beginning with the Class of 2009, Maryland public school students must pass the High School Assessment (HSAs) in four content areas—Algebra, Biology, Government and English—in order to earn a high school diploma. Many HCPSS middle school students are enrolled in the Algebra course, one of the HSA tested content areas, and are expected to pass the Algebra HSA.

Results

In 2008–2009, all 19 middle schools had a minimum of 95 percent of students passing the statemandated HSA in Algebra. Fifteen schools achieved 100 percent passing rates (Table 13).

School	Number Tested	Number Passing	Percent Passing		
Bonnie Branch	127	127	100.0		
Burleigh Manor	152	152	100.0		
Clarksville	158	158	100.0		
Dunloggin	84	84	100.0		
Elkridge Landing	118	118	100.0		
Ellicott Mills	146	146	100.0		
Folly Quarter	122	122	100.0		
Glenwood	101	101	100.0		
Hammond	128	128	100.0		
Harper's Choice	88	87	98.9		
Lime Kiln	143	143	100.0		
Mayfield Woods	98	98	100.0		
Mount View	162	162	100.0		
Murray Hill	93	90	96.8		
Oakland Mills	69	69	100.0		
Patapsco	135	135	100.0		
Patuxent Valley	69	66	95.7		
Wilde Lake	60	60	100.0		

Table 13: 2008–2009 HSA Algebra Results for Middle Schools

All of the student groups met the local standard of achieving passing rates of at least 95 percent (Table 14 and Figure 12).

Student	Number Tested		Number Proficient		Percent Proficient		Change in Percent	
Gloup	2002– 2003	2008– 2009	2002– 2003	2008– 2009	2002– 2003	2008– 2009	Proficient	
Overall	1,860	2,067	1,779	2,060	95.6	99.7	+4.1	
Male	984	1,107	941	1,102	95.6	99.5	+3.9	
Female	876	960	838	958	95.7	99.8	+4.1	
Asian	290	425	283	422	97.6	99.3	+1.7	
African American	145	232	127	230	87.6	99.1	+11.5	
White	1,392	1,353	1,338	1352	96.1	99.9	+3.8	
Hispanic	27	39	25	39	92.6	100.0	+7.4	
Am. Ind./Alaskan	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
Not Reported	5	15	5	15	100.0	100.0	0	
ELL	30	21	25	20	83.3	95.2	+11.9	
FARMS	59	77	50	75	84.7	97.4	+12.7	
Special Education	35	20	30	20	85.7	100.0	+14.3	

Table 14: Number and Percent of Middle School Students by Student Group Meeting the HSA Algebra Standard, 2002–2003 and 2008–2009

Figure 12: Percent of Middle School Student Groups Meeting the HSA Algebra Standard



Indicator: Gifted and Talented (GT) Enrollment

Standard: A minimum enrollment of 20 percent in one or more GT classes in Grades 6–8

The HCPSS recognizes and responds to the needs of a diverse learning community. The Gifted and Talented (GT) Program enrollment offers opportunities for students at advanced levels in academic areas and the visual and performing arts. At the middle school level, students may enroll in GT Mathematics, English, Science or Social Studies.

Results

All 19 middle schools met the GT enrollment standard in 2008–2009 (Table 15).

Table 15: Number and Percent of Middle Schools Meeting the GT Enrollment Standard

School Year	Number of Middle Schools	Number Middle Schools Meeting Standard	Percentage Middle Schools Meeting Standard
2003–2004	19	15	79
2008–2009	19	19	100

Most student groups met the GT enrollment standard in 2008–2009 (Table 16 and Figure 13). Compared to 2003–2004 data, African American students have increased their enrollment and are approaching the standard. Enrollment of Hispanic students remains unchanged and below the standard.

Table 16: Number and Percent of Students in	Grades 6-8 Meeting the GT Enrollment Standard,
2003–2004 and 2008–2009	-

Student	Grades 6–8 Enrollment Count		Grade Number Stud	es 6–8 lents Enrolled GT	Grades 6–8 Percent Students Enrolled in GT	
Gloup	2003–2004	2008–2009	2003–2004	2008–2009	2003–2004	2008–2009
Overall	12,279	11,778	3,248	4,339	29	37
Male	6,316	6,173	1,669	2,316	29	38
Female	5,963	5,605	1,579	2,023	30	36
Asian	1,376	1,810	490	902	39	50
African American	2,502	2,575	228	451	11	18
White	7,806	6,555	2,476	2,850	34	44
Hispanic	440	614	42	80	13	13
Am. Ind./Alaskan	29	40	*	10	*	25
Not Reported	126	184	8	46	6	25



Figure 13: Percent Enrollment in GT by Middle School Student Group, 2003–2004 and 2008–2009

Indicator:Gifted and Talented (GT) PerformanceStandard:A minimum of 98 percent of GT English students scoring at the proficient or advanced
level on the MSA in reading
A minimum of 98 percent of GT English students scoring at the proficient or advanced
level on the MSA in mathematics

Students enrolled in the Gifted and Talented Program are expected to perform at levels that mirror their advanced abilities. The performance indicator is set to assure that students reach for excellence and that schools are providing the advanced level instruction that will lead to student success.

Results

All 19 middle schools met the GT performance standard in 2008–2009 (Table 17).

Table 17: Number and Percent of Middle Schools Meeting the GT Performance Standard

School Year	Number of Middle Schools	Number Middle Schools Meeting Standard	Percentage Middle Schools Meeting Standard
2003–2004	19	18	95
2008–2009	19	19	100

All student groups met the standard for GT MSA performance in both reading and mathematics (Tables 18 and 19 and Figures 14 and 15).

Table 18: Number and Percent of GT	Middle School Students Meeting	the Reading MSA Standard,
2003–2004 and 2008–2009	-	-

Student Group Overall Male Female Asian	Nur Tes	nber sted	Nun Profi	nber cient	Percent Proficient		
	2003–2004	2008–2009	2003–2004	2008–2009	2003–2004 2008–2009		
Overall	3,215	4,335	3,215	4,335	100.0	100.0	
Male	1,651	2,314	1,651	2,291	100.0	99.0	
Female	1,564	2,021	1,564	2,021	100.0	100.0	
Asian	482	902	482	897	100.0	99.4	
African American	224	451	222	448	99.0	99.3	
White	2,456	2,846	2,456	2,846	100.0	100.0	
Hispanic	41	80	41	80	100.0	100.0	
Am. Ind./Alaskan	*	10	*	10	*	100.0	
Not Reported	8	46	8	46	100.0 100.0		

Student	Nur Tes	nber sted	Nur Profi	nber icient	Percent Proficient		
Group	2003–2004	2008–2009	2003–2004	2008–2009	2003–2004	2003–2004 2008–2009	
Overall	3,215	4,335	3,215	4,335	100.0	100.0	
Male	1,651	2,314	1,651	2,314	100.0	99.0	
Female	1,564 2,021		1,564	2,021	100.0	100.0	
Asian	482	902	482	902	100.0	99.0	
African American	224	451	222	447	99.0	99.0	
White	2,456	2,846	2,456	2,846	100.0	100.0	
Hispanic	41	80	41	80	100.0	100.0	
Am. Ind./Alaskan	*	10	*	10	*	100.0	
Not Reported	8	46	8	46	100.0 97.8		

Table 19: Number and Percent of GT Middle School Students Meeting the Mathematics MSA Standard, 2003–2004 and 2008–2009

Figure 14: Percent of Middle School GT Students Scoring Proficient or Advanced Reading MSA, 2003–2004 and 2008–2009







Indicator:Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)Standard:All schools will meet AYP

High schools, as do elementary and middle schools, must make AYP as a requirement of *NCLB*. Maryland has established proficiency targets, or Annual Measurable Objectives (AMOs), for the English and Algebra High School Assessments to determine whether high schools are on track to meet the 2014 goal of 100 percent student proficiency in reading and mathematics. High schools must also meet the AMO for graduation. Furthermore, schools must have at least 95 percent student participation in testing.

Schools that fall short for the first time on any of these reported areas enter the state's list of schools that require *local attention*. Schools that fall short in the *same reported area two years sequentially* are identified for school improvement.

In 2008–2009, the AMOs for high schools were as follows:

Reading65.8%Mathematics56.1 %Graduation85.5 %Participation95.0 %

Results

All 12 high schools met AYP in 2008–2009 (Table 20).

Table 20: Number and Percent of High Schools Meeting AYP, 2002–2003 and 2008–2009

School Year	Number of High Schools	Number High Schools Meeting AYP	Percentage High Schools Meeting AYP
2002–2003	11	10	91
2008–2009	12	12	100

Indicator:High School Assessment (HSA) in Algebra, Biology, English and GovernmentStandard:A minimum of 95 percent of students will meet the HSA graduation requirement by
the beginning of Grade 12

Beginning with the Class of 2009, Maryland public school students must pass High School Assessment (HSAs) in four content areas—Algebra/Data Analysis, Biology, Government and English—in order to earn a Maryland high school diploma. Special education students with alternative achievement standards take a modified version of the test, the Mod-HSA. Passing scores for both the HSA and the Mod-HSA are the same. The HSAs are based on the Core Learning Goals, a part of Maryland's curriculum that outlines high school course content and learning objectives. Students take each test as they complete the related course.

To meet the HSA requirement, students must have a passing score in each HSA or pass through a combined score option of at least 1602—the sum of the four passing scores. Students can also substitute passing scores on certain Advanced Placement and International Baccalaureate exams for passing scores on the HSAs. Additionally, the option to complete "Bridge Plan for Academic Validation" projects exists for students who have failed one or more HSAs multiple times, as well as an HSA waiver option for students, who may not have had the opportunity to complete certain courses in time to pass all of the HSAs.

Results

The Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE) currently calculates HSA pass rates based on the passing status of a cohort, or a group of students, who entered Grade 9 in or after 2005. This is known as the status or cohort analysis model. The 2009 HSA status report shows that HCPSS students had a solid performance on the state's academic achievement tests (Figure 16).

- The results show that 99.8 percent of the 3,606 students in the Class of 2009 who participated in HSA testing met the HSA requirement.
- The vast majority of these students (98.4 percent, or 3,549 students) met the requirement either by passing all four tests or through the combined score option.
- A small percentage met the requirement with Bridge programs (1.4 percent, or 51 students). One student received a waiver.

Figure 16: HSA Status of the Class of 2009

Passed All 4 HSAs or Through the Combined Score Option 98.4%



All student groups met the 95 percent HSA graduation requirement by Grade 12 standard, except for the English Language Learner (ELL) student group—which missed the standard by one student. Column "Total" on Table 21 presents these data.

	Total Number Tested	Passed or Rea Combin	All 4 Tests ched the ed Score	E Pr	Bridge rogram	v	Total	
	No.	No.	Percent	No.	Percent	No.	Percent	Percent
All Students	3,606	3,549	98.4	51	1.4	1	0.0	99.8
Asian	509	503	98.8	4	0.8	0	0.0	99.6
African American	676	633 93.6		40	5.9	0	0.0	99.5
White	2,266	2,260	99.7	6	0.3	0	0.0	100.0
Hispanic	150	148	98.7	1	0.7	0	0.0	99.4
Am. Ind./Alaskan	5	5	100.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	100.0
ELL	12	8	66.7	2	16.7	1	8.3	91.7
FARMS	292	268	91.8	22	7.5	0	0.0	99.3
Special Education	157	142	90.4	13	13 8.3		0.0	98.7

Table 21: 2009 HSA Status - Grade 12. Number of Students Tested and Percent that Met the HSA Requirement

When examining school by school performance, the percent of students meeting the requirement ranged from 98 percent to 100 percent. All 12 high schools met the HSA standard in 2008–2009 (Table 22).

Table 22: 2009 HSA Status - Grade 12. Number and Percent of High Schools Meeting HSA Graduation Requirement Standard

School Year	Number of High	Number High Schools	Percentage High Schools
	Schools	Meeting HSA Standard	Meeting HSA Standard
2008–2009	12	12	100

Indicator: SAT Participation

Standard: A minimum of 80 percent of students participate in the assessment

Ensuring that students consider other options available after graduation and feel prepared to take advantage of these opportunities led the HCPSS to set the rigorous standard of 80 percent participation in SAT testing. The SAT is a measure of student readiness for college. Taking the SAT is a requirement for entry into most colleges.

Results

In keeping with the rigorous nature of the SAT student participation standard of HCPSS, only three schools have met it (Table 23).

Table 23:Number and Percent of High Schools Meeting SAT Standard2002–2003 and 2008–2009

School Year	Number of High Schools	Number Schools Meeting Standard	Percentage Schools Meeting Standard
2002–2003	10	3	30
2008–2009	12	3	25

Note: Reservoir HS and Marriotts Ridge HS did not have a senior class in 2002–2003.

Examination of the data by student group shows an increase in participation for all student groups relative to the baseline year. The Asian student group has met the local standard in both comparison years (Table 24 and Figure 17).

Student	Numl Stuc	ber of lents	Per Partici	cent pation	Change in Percent Participation
Cloup	2002–2003	2002–2003 2008–2009 2002–2003 2008–2009			
Overall	2,263	2,820	73	75	+2
Male	1,053	1,330	68	71	+3
Female	1,210	1,490	78	79	+1
Asian	282	459	85	86	+1
African American	298	471	57	64	+7
White	1,643	1,784	77	78	+1
Hispanic	35	94	42	59	+17
Am. Ind./Alaskan	*	5	*	71	*
Not Reported	*	7	*	64	*
ELL	19	95	45	63	+18
FARMS	79	265	37 49		+12
Special Education	64	144	24	44	+20

Table 24: SAT Participation by Student Group, 2002–2003 and 2008–2009



Figure 17: SAT Participation Rate by Student Group, 2002–2003 and 2008–2009

Indicator:GT/Honors/AP EnrollmentStandard:A minimum of 40 percent of students in Grades 9–12

Encouraging students to participate in rigorous coursework is an important strategy in supporting excellence for all. At the high school level students have the option to participate in either Honors, Gifted and Talented (GT), or Advanced Placement (AP) courses. Use of results of PSAT administrations in Grades 10 and 11 is one measure contributing to identification of students with potential to succeed in rigorous courses.

Results

All 12 high schools met the local standard of 40 percent participation in GT, Honors, or AP courses in 2008–2009 (Table 25).

Table 25:Number and Percent of High Schools Meeting the GT/Honors/AP Standard2002–2003 and 2008–2009

School Year	Number of High Schools	Number High Schools Meeting Standard	Percentage High Schools Meeting Standard
2002–2003	11	10	91
2008–2009	12	12	100

All of the student groups met the standard in 2008–2009. All student groups have made gains in student participation in GT, Honors, or AP courses since 2002–2003 (Table 26 and Figure 18).

Student Group	Grade: Enrol Co	s 9–12 Iment unt	Grade: Number Enrol GT/Hor	s 9–12 Students led in nors/AP	Grade Percent Enrol GT/Hor	Change in Percent	
	2003– 2004	2008– 2009	2003– 2004	2008– 2009	2003– 2004	2008– 2009	Enrollinent
Overall	15,191	15,947	9,854	11,025	65	69	+4
Male	7,763	8,147	4,580	5,234	59	64	+5
Female	7,428	7,800	5,274	5,791	71	74	+3
Asian	1,872	2,296	1,460	1860	78	81	+3
African American	2,792	3,398	1,229	1597	44	47	+3
White	9,955	9,334	6,869	7094	69	76	+7
Hispanic	487	810	214	405	44	50	+6
Am. Ind./Alaskan	26	29	13	15	50	52	+2
Not Reported	59	80	20	54	34	68	+34

Table 26: Participation in GT/Honors/AP enrollment by Student Group, 2002–2003 and 2008–2009



Figure 18: GT/Honors/AP Participation by High School Student Group, 2002–2003 and 2008–2009

The performance of HPCSS students on the Goal 1 standards in 2008–2009 was remarkably strong and demonstrates the effectiveness of many of the strategies implemented across the system. These strategies include, but are not limited to, the following:

- Developing professional learning communities of administrators, teachers, and central office staff members with a focus on developing effective school improvement plans and using data to guide instructional decisions.
- Providing differentiated resources, such as reading, mathematics, and special education support teachers, to provide job-embedded professional development to classroom teachers.
- Implementing a co-teaching intervention model.
- Intensifying academic support during school, before and after school, and in the summer for students performing below grade level in reading and mathematics.
- Offering High School Assessment (HSA) mastery courses.
- Aligning curriculum and locally developed assessments with state standards, the Maryland State Curriculum, and state tests.
- Training system leaders and classroom teachers in cultural proficiency.
- Offering systemwide training on school improvement planning and strategies at the Summer Institute.

These strategies are constantly reviewed and refined based on the data related to the Goal 1 standards. Studying the data prompts questions and leads to a discussion of where challenges exist so that strategies can be refined or new strategies can be implemented in a continual striving for excellence. To help with this task, an ongoing systemic process of improvement and proactive change known as Plan, Do, Study, Act (PDSA) is implemented. This improvement process is used at the system, school, and classroom level to accelerate student achievement.

New strategies are also being implemented as part of the Maryland Bridge Plan for Academic Validation to provide students who are having difficulty on the HSAs with an alternative means to meeting the graduation requirement. Additionally, the alignment, coordination and integration of professional development, curriculum and instruction remain central to the HCPSS mission of ensuring excellence in teaching and learning. This approach has yielded impressive Goal 1 results in 2008–2009 at both ends of the curriculum and instructional spectrum. At the primary grade level, for example, over 80 percent of Grade 2 students scored proficient in reading and mathematics on the SAT 10 standardized test. At the secondary grade level, on the other hand, 99.8 percent of students in the Class of 2009 met the HSA requirement. The vast majority (98.4 percent) met the requirement either by passing all four tests or through the combined score option.

While these results are very encouraging, important to current and future successes is the system's continuous commitment to instructional programs that ensure all students learn at their maximum potential. In this sense, increasing the participation of African American and Hispanic students in Gifted and Talented programs at the elementary and middle school levels remains an area for further improvement.

As the challenges of upcoming lean times loom in the horizon, the HCPSS will continue to make focused efforts to maximize resources and maintain the progress of students and schools.

Appendix

School Trend Performance Bridge to Excellence Indicators

Elementary Schools (Grades K–5) Trend Performance on BTE Indicators – SAT 10, MSA, and AYP

	G Perc	rade 2 Te Reading ent Profic Advanced	est cient/ d	G N Perc	rade 2 Te lathemati ent Profic Advanced	est cs cient/ d	MSA Reading Percent Proficient/Advanced				MSA Mathematics Percent Proficient/Advanced				AYP			
School	Sta	ndard = 7	70%	Sta	ndard = 7	70%												
	2006– 2007	2007– 2008	2008– 2009	2006– 2007	2007– 2008	2008– 2009	2002– 2003	2006– 2007	2007– 2008	2008– 2009	2002– 2003	2006– 2007	2007– 2008	2008– 2009	2002– 2003	2006– 2007	2007– 2008	2008– 2009
Atholton	75	75	80	67	73	83	78	90	90	95	80	87	86	91	MET	MET	MET	MET
Bellows Spring	85	87	86	82	80	81	NA	91	95	96	NA	92	92	95	NA	MET	MET	MET
Bollman Bridge	54	70	76	57	67	68	72	78	82	85	73	78	74	82	MET	NOT	NOT	NOT
Bryant Woods	66	72	66	55	70	68	66	80	90	89	66	71	81	76	MET	MET	MET	MET
Bushy Park	91	96	93	90	92	90	92	97	98	99	91	96	98	98	MET	MET	MET	MET
Centennial Lane	91	93	88	92	95	94	96	98	98	97	94	98	96	96	MET	MET	MET	MET
Clarksville	89	94	94	89	96	94	90	97	99	99	89	96	98	97	MET	MET	MET	MET
Clemens Crossing	90	93	90	81	95	92	89	92	96	96	86	88	91	95	MET	MET	MET	MET
Cradlerock K-5	65	55	65	61	45	59	72	77	81	82	67	76	75	68	MET	MET	MET	NOT
Dayton Oaks	89	88	87	89	87	92	NA	94	94	95	NA	94	94	95	NA	MET	MET	MET
Deep Run	69	76	83	73	66	80	67	83	87	89	65	83	80	81	MET	MET	MET	MET
Elkridge	89	86	86	84	80	79	83	85	89	88	76	86	87	84	MET	MET	MET	MET
Forest Ridge	78	83	84	75	83	80	74	91	93	93	75	88	86	89	MET	MET	MET	MET
Fulton	86	91	85	89	91	89	86	95	97	97	87	93	93	92	MET	MET	MET	MET
Gorman Crossing	66	81	80	63	82	85	76	94	92	94	80	90	92	89	MET	MET	MET	MET
Guilford	80	80	85	72	76	86	70	85	92	88	73	82	83	82	MET	MET	MET	MET
Hammond	89	94	99	95	90	89	90	97	98	96	90	96	99	98	MET	MET	MET	MET
Hollifield Station	84	84	82	80	88	81	81	92	96	95	80	90	91	94	MET	MET	MET	MET
llchester	95	99	95	90	92	93	91	95	98	98	91	95	98	97	MET	MET	MET	MET
Jeffers Hill	76	78	78	72	71	78	88	84	88	89	82	83	83	84	MET	MET	MET	MET
Laurel Woods	55	57	60	48	57	45	62	79	83	84	52	74	73	74	MET	MET	MET	MET
Lisbon	88	85	68	87	81	96	89	92	97	98	84	92	95	93	MET	MET	MET	MET
Longfellow	75	65	69	80	72	79	77	79	90	90	74	82	85	85	MET	MET	MET	MET
Manor Woods	89	91	93	91	92	89	92	95	97	98	88	94	95	97	MET	MET	MET	MET

Bellows Spring ES opened in Fall 2003

Dayton Oaks ES opened in Fall 2006

AYP calculation for Cradlerock is based on K-8 AMOs

Elementary Schools (Grades K–5) Trend Performance on BTE Indicators – SAT 10, MSA, and AYP (Cont.)

	Gra Perc	de 2 SA Reading ent Profic Advanced	T 10 cient/ d	Gra M Perc	ide 2 SAT lathemati ent Profic Advanced	Γ 10 cs cient/ d	Perce	MSA Reading Percent Proficient/Advanced				MSA Mathematics Percent Proficient/Advanced						
School	Sta	ndard = 7	7 0%	Sta	ndard = 7	70%										A	ΥP	
	2006– 2007	2007– 2008	2008– 2009	2006– 2007	2007– 2008	2008– 2009	2002– 2003	2006– 2007	2007– 2008	200–8 2009	2002– 2003	2006– 2007	2007– 2008	2008– 2009	2002– 2003	2006– 2007	2007– 2008	2008– 2009
Northfield	90	93	88	84	87	94	90	96	98	99	90	96	98	97	MET	MET	MET	MET
Phelps Luck	64	69	71	49	61	59	63	77	86	88	61	70	79	77	MET	NOT	MET	MET
Pointers Run	91	75	90	81	92	88	93	96	97	96	89	96	95	95	MET	MET	MET	MET
Rockburn	83	80	81	78	85	82	85	90	93	92	88	87	91	91	MET	NOT	MET	MET
Running Brook	64	82	50	55	78	44	66	86	87	81	67	82	81	81	MET	MET	MET	MET
St. John's Lane	70	85	91	68	92	93	78	89	98	98	83	86	96	98	MET	MET	MET	MET
Stevens Forest	74	70	85	83	64	83	76	83	89	89	73	77	81	87	MET	MET	NOT	MET
Swansfield	61	70	66	58	65	61	69	85	90	84	65	77	80	81	MET	MET	MET	MET
Talbott Springs	75	80	75	89	83	79	47	83	84	86	43	85	82	80	MET	MET	MET	MET
Thunder Hill	91	92	90	91	92	87	91	97	97	99	92	98	95	95	MET	MET	MET	MET
Triadelphia Ridge	91	90	95	87	91	88	89	96	97	98	90	95	93	95	MET	MET	MET	MET
Veterans	NA	87	83	NA	84	72	NA	NA	87	91	NA	NA	82	87	NA	NA	MET	MET
Waterloo	76	78	85	86	75	86	84	91	91	91	85	91	87	88	MET	MET	MET	MET
Waverly	92	90	85	89	89	84	89	96	98	98	91	95	97	97	MET	MET	MET	MET
West Friendship	90	80	94	87	80	86	83	94	96	93	90	94	98	93	MET	MET	MET	MET
Worthington	90	91	86	82	91	88	89	96	100	99	94	96	99	99	MET	MET	MET	MET

Veterans ES opened in Fall 2007

School	Gifteo	l and Tale Percent Standar	nted Enrol Enrolled d = 15%	lment	Gifted and Talented Performance Percent Proficient or Advanced – Mathematics MSA Standard = 98%					
	2003-	2006-	2007-	2008-	2003-	2006-	2007-	2008-		
Atholton	2004	2007	2008	2009	2004	2007	2008	2009		
Allouion Bollowo Spring	19	20	21	20	100	100	100	100		
Bellmon Bridge	2 I 1 G	33 10	30 40	30	100	100	100	100		
Doliman bridge	10	19	10	14	100	100	100	100		
Bryant Woods	19	15	17	19	91	100	100	100		
	17	29	29	34	100	100	100	100		
	34	35	38	33	100	100	100	100		
Clarksville	25	42	46	44	100	99	100	100		
Clemens Crossing	21	33	29	27	100	100	100	100		
Cradlerock K-5	21	17	17	16	100	100	100	100		
Dayton Oaks	NA	34	33	28	NA	100	100	100		
Deep Run	11	17	17	13	100	100	100	100		
Elkridge	16	22	22	22	100	100	100	98		
Forest Ridge	18	17	14	15	100	100	100	100		
Fulton	23	27	30	31	100	100	100	100		
Gorman Crossing	17	24	24	25	100	100	100	100		
Guilford	13	19	22	23	100	100	100	100		
Hammond	27	33	31	31	98	100	100	100		
Hollifield Station	20	19	23	28	100	100	100	100		
llchester	30	31	35	33	100	100	100	100		
Jeffers Hill	12	20	23	19	100	100	100	100		
Laurel Woods	9	3	14	17	100	100	100	100		
Lisbon	18	20	24	25	100	100	100	100		
Longfellow	22	28	26	27	100	100	100	100		
Manor Woods	26	28	31	33	100	100	100	100		
Northfield	30	48	43	46	100	100	100	100		
Phelps Luck	6	16	16	13	100	100	100	100		

Elementary Schools (Grades K–5) Trend Performance on BTE Indicators – Gifted and Talented Enrollment and Performance

Dayton Oaks ES opened in Fall 2006

Elementary Schools (Grades K–5) Trend Performance on BTE Indicators – Gifted and Talented Enrollment and Performance (Cont.)

School	Gifted	and Tale Percent Standar	nted Enrol Enrolled d = 15%	llment	Gifted and Talented Performance Percent Proficient or Advanced (MSA) – Mathematics Standard = 98%					
	2003– 2004	2006– 2007	2007– 2008	2008– 2009	2003– 2004	2006– 2007	2007– 2008	2008– 2009		
Pointers Run	23	27	32	35	100	100	100	100		
Rockburn	20	27	23	21	100	100	100	100		
Running Brook	9	23	23	18	100	100	100	100		
St. John's Lane	17	16	33	36	100	100	100	100		
Stevens Forest	19	26	20	24	100	100	100	100		
Swansfield	18	19	18	18	100	100	100	100		
Talbott Springs	13	21	16	18	100	100	100	100		
Thunder Hill	30	41	38	41	100	100	100	100		
Triadelphia Ridge	27	29	39	35	100	100	100	100		
Veterans	NA	NA	24	19	NA	NA	100	98		
Waterloo	22	18	21	25	100	100	100	100		
Waverly	22	31	30	31	100	100	100	100		
West Friendship	15	22	25	29	100	100	100	100		
Worthington	31	41	40	31	100	100	100	100		

Veterans ES opened in Fall 2007

Middle Schools (Grades 6–8)	
Trend Performance on BTE Indicators – MSA, HSA Algebra and AYP	

	Perce	MSA R ent Profic	leading ient/Adva	anced	MSA Mathematics Percent Proficient/Advanced			HSA Algebra Percent Passing Standard = 95%				AYP				
School	2002– 2003	2006– 2007	2007– 2008	2008– 2009	2002– 2003	2006– 2007	2007– 2008	2008– 2009	2002– 2003	2006– 2007	2007– 2008	2008– 2009	2002– 2003	2006– 2007	2007– 2008	2008– 2009
Bonnie Branch	85	82	87	88	59	82	86	85	100	100	100	100	MET	NOT	MET	MET
Burleigh Manor	94	92	97	97	90	91	94	94	98	100	100	100	MET	MET	MET	MET
Clarksville	95	93	97	98	86	96	96	99	99	99	100	100	MET	MET	MET	MET
Cradlerock 6-8	75	70	78	82	50	52	55	65	93	92	96	94	MET	MET	MET	MET
Dunloggin	86	84	92	93	78	83	90	92	100	98	100	100	MET	NOT	MET	MET
Elkridge Landing	80	86	88	91	46	81	82	86	94	100	99	100	MET	MET	MET	MET
Ellicott Mills	86	90	93	96	69	89	90	92	99	99	99	100	MET	MET	MET	MET
Folly Quarter	NA	92	93	97	NA	90	88	92	NA	100	100	100	MET	MET	MET	MET
Glenwood	89	94	95	95	69	93	93	94	93	100	100	100	MET	MET	MET	MET
Hammond	84	89	95	95	69	86	92	93	96	100	99	100	MET	MET	MET	MET
Harper's Choice	73	77	84	87	56	72	75	80	97	100	100	99	MET	NOT	NOT	MET
Lime Kiln	90	93	94	96	83	92	93	96	100	100	100	100	MET	MET	MET	MET
Mayfield Woods	73	83	84	87	69	76	81	81	100	100	100	100	MET	MET	MET	MET
Mount View	88	94	94	96	69	87	91	93	97	98	97	100	MET	MET	MET	MET
Murray Hill	65	71	84	89	40	64	77	85	91	94	99	97	MET	NOT	MET	MET
Oakland Mills	76	72	79	84	53	67	69	79	96	100	98	100	MET	NOT	NOT	MET
Patapsco	81	88	90	94	76	83	84	89	98	100	100	100	MET	MET	MET	MET
Patuxent Valley	71	80	81	86	37	68	67	76	81	93	94	96	MET	MET	NOT	NOT
Wilde Lake	74	75	82	85	42	66	69	72	93	92	96	100	MET	NOT	MET	MET

Folly Quarter MS opened in Fall 2003

		GT Enr Percent Standar	ollment Enrolled d = 20%	l	GT Pe Perce	rformance nt Proficie Standar	e – Readin ent or Adva d = 98%	g MSA anced	GT Performance - Mathematics MSA Percent Proficient or Advanced Standard = 98%				
School	2003– 2004	2006– 2007	2007– 2008	2008– 2009	2003– 2004	2006– 2007	2007– 2008	2008– 2009	2003– 2004	2006– 2007	2007– 2008	2008– 2009	
Bonnie Branch	29	39	40	41	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	
Burleigh Manor	41	47	47	47	100	99	100	100	100	100	100	100	
Clarksville	40	46	48	52	100	100	100	100	10099	100	100	100	
Dunloggin	28	37	43	39	100	100	100	99	100	100	100	100	
Elkridge Landing	16	27	29	32	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	
Ellicott Mills	34	41	39	38	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	
Folly Quarter	32	39	38	42	99	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	
Glenwood	26	34	35	36	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	
Hammond	37	41	42	43	99	99	100	100	100	100	100	100	
Harper's Choice	24	34	33	33	100	99	100	100	100	100	100	100	
Lime Kiln	29	44	45	47	100	99	100	100	100	100	100	100	
Mayfield Woods	17	24	26	26	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	
Mount View	34	44	44	42	99	100	100	100	99	100	100	100	
Murray Hill	14	21	24	27	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	
Oakland Mills	18	35	35	35	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	
Patapsco	26	41	41	40	100	99	100	100	98	100	100	100	
Patuxent Valley	21	26	22	22	100	100	99	100	99	100	99	100	
Wilde Lake	20	42	34	31	98	99	99	98	98	98	99	100	

Middle Schools (Grades 6–8) Trend Performance on BTE Indicators – Gifted and Talented (GT) Enrollment and Performance

Folly Quarter MS opened in Fall 2003

	SAT Participation Standard = 80%					Honors/A Standar	∖P Enrollr d = 40%	nent	AYP			
School	2002– 2003	2006– 2007	2007– 2008	2008– 2009	2003– 2004	2006– 2007	2007– 2008	2008– 2009	2002– 2003	2006– 2007	2007– 2008	2008– 2009
Atholton	64	81	79	82	71	74	76	76	MET	MET	MET	MET
Centennial	89	88	83	87	79	82	80	79	MET	MET	MET	MET
Glenelg	80	77	78	79	62	69	71	72	MET	MET	MET	MET
Hammond	69	66	63	63	56	54	58	57	MET	MET	MET	MET
Howard	63	74	70	77	63	71	72	72	MET	MET	MET	MET
Long Reach	70	64	55	69	53	59	51	54	MET	MET	MET	MET
Marriotts Ridge	NA	NA	78	58	NA	78	78	78	NA	MET	MET	MET
Mt. Hebron	76	75	72	78	70	73	72	73	MET	MET	MET	MET
Oakland Mills	71	67	60	65	59	61	58	59	MET	MET	MET	MET
Reservoir	NA	64	62	76	53	59	61	61	MET	MET	MET	MET
River Hill	86	85	84	90	67	75	76	77	MET	MET	MET	MET
Wilde Lake	70	68	62	72	63	68	67	66	MET	MET	MET	MET

High Schools (Grades 9–12) Trend Performance on BTE Indicators – SAT, GT/Honors/AP, and AYP

Reservoir HS did not have a senior class until 2005–2006. Marriotts Ridge HS did not have a senior class until 2007–2008.

High Schools (Grades 9–12) Trend Performance on BTE Indicators – Performance of 12th Grade Students High School Assessment Graduation Requirement Status

	Percent Students Passing All 4 Tests Standard = 95%							
School	Class of 2008	Class of 2009						
Atholton	93	100						
Centennial	94	100						
Glenelg	96	100						
Hammond	87	99.6						
Howard	95	100						
Long Reach	91	99.6						
Marriotts Ridge	96	100						
Mt. Hebron	91	100						
Oakland Mills	84	99.6						
Reservoir	89	99.7						
River Hill	98	100						
Wilde Lake	87	99.7						