

2011 Attendance Area Committee
Meeting #4
July 19, 2011

Summary

The forth meeting of the 2011 Attendance Area Committee (AAC) began at 7:08 PM. Joel Gallihue Manager, School Planning, opened the meeting.

Staff members in attendance:

Ken Roey, Executive Director, Facilities Planning and Management
Joel Gallihue, Manager of School Planning
Jennifer Bubenko, Planning Specialist

Committee members in attendance:

Kenny Kan Thomas Tucker
Jean Parr Anne Santos
Marc Steingesser Gay Williams
Shannon Taitt

Committee members not in attendance:

Kayline Anantua Frank Biro
Chanelle Brawner Karen Rossbottom

Administrative

The handouts were described.

Handouts

Committee members received:

- Summary notes from the 7/12/11 AAC Meeting
- Budget Process power point
- Base (existing attendance areas), AAC_D and AAC_E plan reports

Presentation

Ken Roey presented a power point presentation on the Capital Budget Process, including:

- Changes in capital budget funding since 2005
- Methods of school construction cost estimation
- Deferred maintenance and other emergency needs
- Consideration of condition of item and consequence of failure
- Probability vs. Consequence and developing priorities

Specific Questions were addressed regarding several topics:

- The county projects revenues.
- Equipment is included in capital costs for new and replacement schools.
- Renovation specifications have been approved by the Board of Education.

- Differences between schools exist, but the capacities are calculated by school, so capacity utilization calculations should be considered equitable when comparing schools.
- If larger properties are available to buy, a campus site with multiple schools (ex. Fulton ES, Lime Kiln MS, Reservoir HS and Cedar Lane) would make sense.
- We review walk areas (non-bus transported areas) based on the geography, rather than the exact number of students walking.
- Policy 5200 – Pupil Transportation – outlines transportation and walk area information.
- One member was concerned about the way that the number of students per polygon is calculated, noting that there are school-aged children in his neighborhood, but the Planning Polygon Summary Report indicates that there are none. The report includes the current student enrollment, which is based on the 9/30/10 official enrollment.
- Per policy 6010, the maintenance of feeder patterns is a factor to be considered in the development of any school attendance area adjustment plan. The factor includes avoiding the establishment of a feed of less than 15% where possible. The feed percentage is calculated on the percentage of students based on the receiving school. Feeder percentages can be affected by redistricting at either the lower or higher level school involved in the feed.
- One member asked to learn more about the reasoning behind the plans in the Feasibility Study so that the AAC can make an assessment on the staff plans before creating plans of their own.
- Capacity utilization calculation does not include relocatables.

Review of last week’s plans

Plan AAC_D – Moves 498 students, approximately 2%

Plan AAC_D – Students Moved		
Sending School	Receiving School	Number of students
Gorman Crossing ES	Fulton ES	332
Fulton ES	Pointers Run ES	141
Fulton ES	Dayton Oaks ES	25

Plan AAC_D – Affect on Capacity Utilization		
School	Approx Change in Cap. Util.	Affect
Dayton Oaks ES	None	No change
Fulton ES	Increase 20%	Too much
Gorman Crossing ES	Decrease 70%	Too much
Pointers Run ES	Increase 20%	Positive attribute

Plan AAC_D – Feed			
Feeder School	Receiving Schl	% of rec. Schl	Affect
Pointers Run ES	Lime Kiln MS	14% → 27.5%	Positive
Gorman Crossing ES	Murray Hill MS	31% → 15%	OK
Fulton ES	Murray Hill MS	Created 16.4%	OK

Plan AAC_E – Moves 1037 students, approximately 4%

Plan AAC_E – Students Moved		
Sending School	Receiving School	Number of students
Clemens Crossing ES	Pointers Run ES	299
Atholton ES	Clemens Crossing ES	270
Fulton ES	Dayton Oaks ES	279
Guilford ES	Fulton ES	130
Atholton ES	Fulton ES	20
Guilford ES	Clemens Crossing ES	14
Hammond ES	Fulton ES	25

Plan AAC_E – Affect on Capacity Utilization		
School	Approx Change in Cap. Util.	Affect
Atholton ES	Decrease 70%	Too much
Clemens Crossing ES	Increase 3%	Positive attribute
Dayton Oaks ES	Increase 35%	Positive attribute
Fulton ES	Decrease 10%	OK
Guilford ES	Decrease 30%	Too much
Pointers Run ES	Increase 37%	Positive attribute

Plan AAC_E – Feed			
Feeder School	Receiving Schl	% of rec. Schl	Affect
Atholton ES	Hammond MS	16.3% → 9.8%	Negative
Clemens Crossing ES	Hammond MS	0 → 6.4%	Negative
Clemens Crossing ES	Lime Kiln MS	5.5% → 0	Positive
Pointers Run ES	Lime Kiln MS	14% → 19.5%	Positive
Atholton ES	Patuxent Valley MS	9.7% → 0	Positive
Clemens Crossing ES	Patuxent Valley MS	0 → 9.7%	Negative

Group Work

Members of the AAC worked in a single group to discuss work on a redistricting plan for the southeastern portion of the county.

Plan AAC_F – Created from Base11 (Current attendance areas)

- Goal – bring capacity utilization of southeastern schools within acceptable range by using capacity in the west. Use ideas from both plans AAC_D and AAC_E.

Plan AAC_F		
Sending School	Receiving School	Polygons
Laurel Woods ES	Forest Ridge ES	1, 121, 1001, 1121
Forest Ridge ES	Bollman Bridge ES	47, 3047, 4047
Forest Ridge ES	Gorman Crossing ES	267, 272, 1272
Bollman Bridge ES	Atholton ES	20, 1020

Homework

Consider other options or thoughts to resolve capacity utilization in the Southeastern Region.

The meeting adjourned at 9:07 PM.