

2017 Attendance Area Committee

Meeting #7 August 15, 2017

Summary

The seventh meeting of the 2017 Attendance Area Committee (AAC) began at 6:30 PM. Renee Kamen, Manager of School Planning, opened the meeting.

Staff members in attendance:

Anissa Brown Dennis, Chief Operating Officer
Bruce Gist, Executive Director of Facilities Planning and Management
Renee Kamen, Manager of School Planning
Jennifer Bubenko, Planning Specialist
Danielle Norris, School Planning Interns

Committee members in attendance:

Elizabeth Banyas	Toni Parham
Jodi Benning	Philip Poletti
Heidi Feng	Craig Reiner
Keefe Hogan	Ashley Royle
George Jackson	Aparna Srinivasan
JeanMarie Keller	

Committee members not in attendance:

Elda Molina (resigned)
Katie Schneider (resigned)
Erin Roberts
Michelle Wood

Administrative

Committee members received several requested resources to add to their binders:

- A copy of the Power Point presentation for Meeting #7
- Updated chart of citizen scenarios
- Updated chart of summary of survey responses (details provided electronically)
- FARMS comparison assessment – exiting school data and Feasibility Study results, original and revised
- AAC Draft Plan utilization, polygons moved, feed, race, and ESOL reports and assessment
- AAC Draft plan ES, MS and HS maps, and small feed map
- Scenarios for testing based on survey results (see below)

The following items will be available online:

- Meeting notes and PowerPoint from this meeting

Presentation

Mrs. Kamen began the meeting by addressing the AAC and the audience. Committee participant and audience expectations were discussed. The audience was reminded that they are there to observe only. Staff members in attendance were introduced. The AAC is advisory to the Superintendent.

The [schedule](#) was noted. Next Tuesday, August 22, is the last meeting of the AAC. The Superintendent's plan will be presented to the BOE on October 3rd. The Board of Education will have Public Hearings and Work Sessions in October and November. The Board of Education is scheduled to approve changes in attendance areas on November 16th.

The AAC is receiving feedback via a [survey](#) online.

Assessment

The AAC draft plan assessment was reviewed. Each school, level and the entire county is assessed for each measure. Plans are assessed based on measures linked to the Policy 6010 and measures are not weighted.

The FARM assessment data based on the Feasibility Study was updated.

Transportation

The cost associated with changing walkers was analyzed. If 500 students are removed from the walk areas, at 44 kids per bus and approximately \$ 62,000 per contract, the operating cost would be about \$682,000 annually. If 1,000 students are moved, the annual cost would be approximately \$1.3M.

Non-transported areas (walk areas) –

ES = 1 mile from the resident property line to the door of the school

MS = 1 mile from the resident property line to the school property line

HS = 1.5 miles from the resident property line to the school property line

The Transportation Office studies routes for safety.

The AAC discussed maximizing walk areas and minimize costs, and taking into consideration the other Policy 6010 considerations. The AAC will go through scenarios and look at walk areas as they come up.

Scenario review

The AAC will test various scenarios as they work toward their consensus plan to recommend to the Superintendent. See Chart for notes on scenarios tested.

List of scenarios reviewed at the 8/15/17 AAC Meeting.

Key for Status Column

Accept – Tested idea and results show some benefit according the Policy and AAC goals; added idea to draft plan. Still need to assess overall plan and decide if additional changes are needed or if this change should be reconsidered.

Tabled – No decision yet. Idea still under consideration.

Excluded – Tested idea and results shows little or no benefit according the Policy and AAC goals. Exclude idea from draft AAC plan.

SCENARIO	POLYGON	STATUS	ES	MS	HS	NOTES
1	65	Accept		BBMS	HHS	Number of students moved vs. benefit
	1065			BBMS	HHS	
	2065			BBMS	HHS	
2	111	Excluded			WLHS	
	1111				WLHS	
	2111				WLHS	
3	59	Accept	TSES			Re-consider when BOE decides on TSES project 8/17
	1059		TSES			
	2059		TSES			
	3059		TSES			
	63		TSES			
	96		SFES			
4 (If 3 is rejected)	59	Tabled	JHES	LEMS		Consider when BOE decides on TSES project 8/17
	1059		JHES	LEMS		
	2059		JHES	LEMS		
	3059		JHES	LEMS		
	71		WatES			
	1071		WatES			
	2071		WatES			
	3071		WatES			
	1075		WatES			
	100		IIES			
	1100		IIES			
5	80	Excluded			LRHS	
	1080				LRHS	
6	2154	Excluded			CenHS	
7	1297	Accepted	GCES			Considered utilization and transportation
8	1112	Excluded	FES			Potential walk zone, questions about crossing guard costs

9a	118	Excluded	PRES			
	189		PRES			
	1189		PRES			
9b	114	Accepted	DOES			Better utilizes DOES
	1114		DOES			
	2114		DOES			
10	117	Accepted		CLVMS		Consider with #11 and #12, fewer students moved
	118			CLVMS		
	120			CLVMS		
11	7	Accepted		LKMS		Consider with #10 and #12, fewer students moved
	221			LKMS		
	1007			LKMS		
	1221			LKMS		
	2221			LKMS		
12	57	Accepted		HamMS	HamHS	Consider with #11 and #10, fewer students moved
	1057			HamMS	HamHS	
	2057			HamMS	HamHS	
13	139	Accepted			OMHS	Restore walk area at OMHS
	1139				OMHS	
	2139				OMHS	
14	172	Excluded			WLHS	Considered restoring walk area, reviewed demographics
	1140				WLHS	
	1053				WLHS	
	1172				WLHS	
	3174				WLHS	
15	150	Excluded			CenHS	Considered utilization
	1150				CenHS	
	4150				CenHS	
	219				CenHS	
16 (if 15 is rejected)	3150	Excluded			WLHS	
	2150				WLHS	
17	147	Accept	CLES	BMMS	CenHS	Fewer students moved
18	159	Tabled	SJLES	PatMS	MTHS	Consider with #20-23 (without 19) Planning to test
	1159		SJLES	PatMS	MTHS	
	72		HSES			
19	162	Tabled		PatMS	MTHS	Consider with #20-23 (without 18) Planning to test
	1162			PatMS	MTHS	
	2161			PatMS	MTHS	

20	179	Tabled	WFES	MVMS	MRHS	Under review with # 18 or #19
	1179		WFES	MVMS	MRHS	
21	178	Tabled	WFES	MVMS	MRHS	Under review with # 18 or #19
	1178		WFES	MVMS	MRHS	
22	304	Tabled	WavES			Under review with # 18 or #19
	1304		WavES			
	1305	Tabled	WavES			
23	224		WFES	MVMS	MRHS	Under review with # 18 or #19
	229		WFES	MVMS	MRHS	
	231		WFES	MVMS	MRHS	
	232		WFES	MVMS	MRHS	
	1229		WFES	MVMS	MRHS	
	1231		WFES	MVMS	MRHS	
	2229		WFES	MVMS	MRHS	
(If 22 is rejected)	304	Excluded	BPES	GMS	GHS	
(If 22 is rejected)	1304	Excluded	BPES	GMS	GHS	
(If 22 is rejected)	1305	Excluded	BPES	GMS	GHS	
24	47	Not yet discussed	CLES	BMMS	CenHS	
	3147		LFES	WLMS	WLHS	
	4147		LFES	WLMS	WLHS	
25	101	Excluded	VES			
	1101		VES			
26	153	Not yet discussed			MRHS	
27	1184	Not yet discussed			MRHS	
28	178	Not yet discussed			GHS	
	179				GHS	
	180				GHS	
	181				GHS	
	1178				GHS	
	1179				GHS	
	1180				GHS	
	1181				GHS	
	382				RHHS	
29	97	Excluded	SJLES			

Staff follow-up

Test scenario 18 plus 20-23 (exclude 19)

Test scenario 19 plus 20-23 (exclude 18)

Follow up on cost of crossing guards.

Share final Board decision on Talbott Springs ES decision – if there are new capacity seats in the project they approve

The committee members will work on finalizing their consensus plan/alternative scenario for recommendation to the Superintendent. The AAC is advisory to the Superintendent.

The next meeting of the AAC is Tuesday, August 22, 2017, in room B37 at the Applied Research Laboratory, 10920 Clarksville Pike.

The archived video recording of this AAC meeting is found by clicking here:

<http://www.hcpss.org/school-planning/aac-process/>

The meeting adjourned at 9:33 PM.