

**2017 Attendance Area Committee  
Meeting #5  
August 8, 2017**

**Summary**

The sixth meeting of the 2017 Attendance Area Committee (AAC) began at 6:30 PM. Bruce Gist, Executive Director, Capital Planning and Operations opened the meeting.

**Staff members in attendance:**

Anissa Brown Dennis, Chief Operating Officer  
Bruce Gist, Executive Director of Facilities Planning and Management  
Renee Kamen, Manager of School Planning  
Tim Rogers, Planning Analyst  
Jake Simms and Danielle Norris, School Planning Interns

**Committee members in attendance:**

Jodi Benning	Erin Roberts
Keefe Hogan	Craig Reiner
George Jackson	Ashley Royle
JeanMarie Keller	Aparna Srinivasan
Toni Parham	Michelle Wood
Philip Poletti	

**Committee members not in attendance:**

Elda Molina (resigned)  
Katie Schneider (resigned)  
Elizabeth Banyas  
Heidi Feng

**Administrative**

Committee members received several requested resources to add to their binders:

- A copy of the Power Point presentation for Meeting #6
- Summary notes for Meeting #5
- Updated chart of citizen scenarios
- Updated chart of summary of survey responses

The following items will be available online:

- Meeting notes and PowerPoint from this meeting

**Presentation**

Mrs. Kamen began the meeting by addressing the AAC and the audience. The audience was reminded that they are there to observe only and no pictures can be taken during the AAC

meeting. The AAC was reminded of the meeting's norms and the committee began discussions on a draft AAC recommendation.

## **ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS**

Discussion of the *Columbia East* region included TSES and SFES area. Attempt to balance the FARMs and capacity at both schools. Discussion centered around FARM data and School Planning's discovery that the reason for the discrepancy is the issuance of a nine-digit student identification number for new students in Synergy. The code language written for School Planning's redistricting software uses six to seven digits; therefore, these new students were excluded from the analysis. The new student data was incorporated into the redistricting software and the Feasibility Study Plan Assessment. The data was included in the demographic information received by the AAC committee. Discussion surrounding the Columbia East area included the following:

- Possible removal one of the TSES islands, but not both;
- Possible creation an island for SFES with one of the options;
- Able to relieve HaMS (secondary move)
- With the updated FARMs at TSES and SFES numbers it's not as balanced as expected
  - Staff suggested three options for the AAC's consideration: leave TSES as a non-contiguous attendance area; continue to adjust the AAC draft recommendations; or leave the suggestion as is, recognizing that the AAC cannot reconcile both utilization and FARM.
- Possibility of TSES renovation -- is it worth it to overload the school for a couple of years if capital improvement occurs?
  - Keep them at THES until the renovation is complete.
- POLYGONS: 63 in THES; leave things as is in consensus plan

*Columbia East* area discussion was tabled for the AAC to test more alternatives.

Discussion in the *Columbia West/Western* regions and included changes for LoES, SES, RBES, BWES, CCES, CES. No changes were made for these schools as previously discussed.

Discussion in the *Northern/Western region* and included WFES, BPES and MWES and centered on the projections regarding the Turf Valley development (#301, #1304 & #1305). Questions asked to staff included the transportation times/needs if a non-contiguous attendance area was created and sent from MWES to BPES. Discussion continued on the following items:

- Instead of shifting WFES, create a non-contiguous attendance area to BPES instead. AAC member countered with WFES is underutilized; upgraded septic system required; and smaller/denser areas allow for shorter bus rides because buses fill up quickly, rather than trying to accommodate to a large geographic area
- Concerns about bus ride length - transportation aims to keep rides under 60 minutes. Some kids already on bus for long periods of time - moving to school where they would remain on bus for long time doesn't make huge impact
  - Dependent on school release times and adjust accordingly

- Deadhead time: bus travel time w no students on board
- Request to run transportation tests to compare the effects of the non-contiguous area versus the existing proposal.
- Concerns about creation of non-contiguous attendance area deteriorating the integrity of the plan; feeds; driving past 2 schools before arriving to BPES.
- Leave as is in consensus plan for now

Discussion of the *Northern* region continued and included MWES, WavES, CLES, and SJLES

- #162, #1162, #159, #1159
- Area is contiguous with the exception of poly 97 which has existed for 10+ years

Discussion of the *Northeastern* region continued and included WoES, VES. Discussion centered on the committee's goals for capacity utilization and reducing the student moves with VES.

- Recommend #1101 at WoES and #102 at WES, which undoes previous discussion for the region.

Discussion of the *Southeastern/Western* region included FES, GCES, DOES, LWES, PRES, and CES

- Test scenarios included moving westward to better utilize capacity.
  - #1189 from PRES to DOES (consensus to move with #189, due to small feed with CMS, #189 projected to grow)
  - #7 from FES to GCES, does not disrupt feeds, but causes transportation issues due to access. (no move decided)
  - #118 RHHS from LKMS from DOES, fixes small feed
  - #1112 from FES to PRES, potentially creates bus riders for a portion of the area at ES level, but not at MS or HS level; potential community spilt; polygon grows in future years due to development; allows FES with capacity utilization for 3 years. (consensus to move)
  - #2114, #114 and #1114 from PRES to DOES (with move of #1112)
  - Looked at laurel woods - high density
  - Shift some into forest ridge but then damages feeds

Discussion of the *Western* region continued and included DOES and TRES.

- Recommendations included #200, #1200, #209 (and surrounding) back to existing school assignments.

Discussion of opening of *New ES #42* and *Northeastern/Southeastern* regions no consensus on below polygons

- Move polygon #33 to DRES
- Move 80, 1080 to BSES
- Poly 79, 69, 1069, 1269
- 1100, 100 to IES

## HIGH SCHOOL DISCUSSION

Discussion for *Columbia East, Columbia West and Western* regions and included WLHS, OMHS, MRHS and CHS and centered on capacity utilization between these schools. Ideas tested included:

- #2111, #111, #1111 and #1151 create a complete feed (THES, OMMS, OMHS)
- #1184 CHS;
- #214 and surrounding go to MRHS

Discussion for Western region schools included GHS, and RHHS and centered on capacity utilization, feed and FARM. Ideas tested included:

- #1183 and #2183 – from RHHS to GHS
  - Include 183 to GHS?
- #179, #1179, #178, #1178 RHHS?

## MIDDLE SCHOOL

Discussion of *Northern region* included EMMS, possible capital improvement of additional seats and small feed HoHS from MWMS. Idea tested included:

- #277 to EMMS to correct small feed

Discussion of *Columbia East* region and included OMMS, utilization and small feed at HS level from MS level. Ideas tested included:

- #1059, #2059, #59, #3059

Discussion of *Western* region and included FQMS and CMS, idea tested included

- #1176

Discussion concluded with the AAC looking at potential *small feeds* created by any moves above. Conversation focused on DOES, LKMS and GCES.

Other ideas tested included #91 to IES result left RES under capacity, and require additional moves properly utilize.

The next meeting of the AAC is Tuesday, August 8, 2017, in room B37 at the Applied Research Laboratory, 10920 Clarksville Pike.

The archived video recording of this AAC meeting is found by clicking here:

<http://www.hcpss.org/school-planning/aac-process/>

The meeting adjourned at 9:30 PM.