

Attendance Area Feasibility Study Survey

Elementary School Level			Middle School Level			HighSchool Level					
Atholton ES	37	<div style="width: 37%;"></div>	Laurel Woods ES	1	<div style="width: 1%;"></div>	Bonnie Branch MS	142	<div style="width: 142%;"></div>	Atholton HS	242	<div style="width: 242%;"></div>
Bellows Spring ES	22	<div style="width: 22%;"></div>	Lisbon ES	2	<div style="width: 2%;"></div>	Burleigh Manor MS	110	<div style="width: 110%;"></div>	Centennial HS	195	<div style="width: 195%;"></div>
Bollman Bridge ES	5	<div style="width: 5%;"></div>	Longfellow ES	9	<div style="width: 9%;"></div>	Clarksville MS	75	<div style="width: 75%;"></div>	Glennelg HS	3	<div style="width: 3%;"></div>
Bryant Woods ES	8	<div style="width: 8%;"></div>	Manor Woods ES	125	<div style="width: 125%;"></div>	Dunloggin MS	37	<div style="width: 37%;"></div>	Hammond HS	42	<div style="width: 42%;"></div>
Bushy Park ES	6	<div style="width: 6%;"></div>	Northfield ES	102	<div style="width: 102%;"></div>	Elkridge Landing MS	96	<div style="width: 96%;"></div>	Howard HS	293	<div style="width: 293%;"></div>
Centennial Lane ES	31	<div style="width: 31%;"></div>	Phelps Luck ES	3	<div style="width: 3%;"></div>	Ellicott Mills MS	90	<div style="width: 90%;"></div>	Long Reach HS	75	<div style="width: 75%;"></div>
Clarksville ES	34	<div style="width: 34%;"></div>	Pointers Run ES	86	<div style="width: 86%;"></div>	Folly Quarter MS	43	<div style="width: 43%;"></div>	Marriotts Ridge HS	147	<div style="width: 147%;"></div>
Clemens Crossing ES	77	<div style="width: 77%;"></div>	Rockburn ES	80	<div style="width: 80%;"></div>	Glenwood MS	5	<div style="width: 5%;"></div>	Mt Hebron HS	188	<div style="width: 188%;"></div>
Cradlerock ES	20	<div style="width: 20%;"></div>	Running Brook ES	1	<div style="width: 1%;"></div>	Hammond MS	74	<div style="width: 74%;"></div>	Oakland Mills HS	64	<div style="width: 64%;"></div>
Dayton Oaks ES	26	<div style="width: 26%;"></div>	St Johns Lane ES	87	<div style="width: 87%;"></div>	Harpers Choice MS	33	<div style="width: 33%;"></div>	Reservoir HS	37	<div style="width: 37%;"></div>
Deep Run ES	25	<div style="width: 25%;"></div>	Stevens Forest ES	12	<div style="width: 12%;"></div>	Lake Elkhorn MS	24	<div style="width: 24%;"></div>	River Hill HS	98	<div style="width: 98%;"></div>
Ducketts Lane ES	63	<div style="width: 63%;"></div>	Swansfield ES	8	<div style="width: 8%;"></div>	Lime Kiln MS	71	<div style="width: 71%;"></div>	Wilde Lake HS	59	<div style="width: 59%;"></div>
Elkridge ES	13	<div style="width: 13%;"></div>	Talbott Springs ES	14	<div style="width: 14%;"></div>	Mayfield Woods MS	40	<div style="width: 40%;"></div>			
Forest Ridge ES	18	<div style="width: 18%;"></div>	Thunder Hill ES	33	<div style="width: 33%;"></div>	Mount View MS	142	<div style="width: 142%;"></div>			
Fulton ES	38	<div style="width: 38%;"></div>	Triadelphia Ridge ES	41	<div style="width: 41%;"></div>	Murray Hill MS	54	<div style="width: 54%;"></div>			
Gorman Crossing ES	47	<div style="width: 47%;"></div>	Veterans ES	16	<div style="width: 16%;"></div>	Oakland Mills MS	41	<div style="width: 41%;"></div>			
Guilford ES	9	<div style="width: 9%;"></div>	Waterloo ES	13	<div style="width: 13%;"></div>	Patapsco MS	92	<div style="width: 92%;"></div>			
Hammond ES	52	<div style="width: 52%;"></div>	Waverly ES	29	<div style="width: 29%;"></div>	Patuxent Valley MS	17	<div style="width: 17%;"></div>			
Hollifield Station ES	4	<div style="width: 4%;"></div>	West Friendship ES	39	<div style="width: 39%;"></div>	Thomas Viaduct MS	18	<div style="width: 18%;"></div>			
Ilchester ES	116	<div style="width: 116%;"></div>	Worthington ES	77	<div style="width: 77%;"></div>	Wilde Lake MS	26	<div style="width: 26%;"></div>			
Jeffers Hill ES	6	<div style="width: 6%;"></div>									

Positive:			Negative:		
Does not impact me	59	3.33%	Impacts me negatively	532	16.20%
Minimally impacts me	92	5.19%	Does not addresses issues of overcrowding	224	6.82%
Addresses issues of overcrowding	447	25.24%	Affects transportation factors	428	13.03%
Provides improvements to transportation factors	28	1.58%	Does not consider keeping students together from one school to the next	879	26.77%
Maximize school capacity utilization for the long term	190	10.73%	Does not maximizes school capacity utilization for the long term	190	5.79%
Considers keeping students together from one school to the next	101	5.70%	Does not considers demographic factors	2	0.06%
Maintains contiguous communities or neighborhoods	64	3.61%	Does not maintain contiguous communities or neighborhoods	871	26.52%
Considers demographic factors	4	0.23%	Other	245	7.46%
Positive factors outweigh negative factors	38	2.15%			
Other	384	21.68%			

Plan Rating:		
1 - Poor	1438	68.87%
2 - Fair	268	12.84%
3 - Neutral	135	6.47%
4 - Good	113	5.41%
5 - Excellent	134	6.42%